The degree distribution and the number of edges between nodes of given degrees in directed scale-free graphs

Evgeniy A. Grechnikov*

arXiv:1408.2480v1 [math.PR] 11 Aug 2014

Abstract

In this paper, we study some important statistics of the random graph in the directed preferential attachment model introduced by B. Bollobás, C. Borgs, J. Chayes and O. Riordan. First, we find a new asymptotic formula for the expectation of the number $n_{in}(d,t)$ of nodes of a given in-degree d in a graph in this model with t edges, which covers all possible degrees. The out-degree distribution in the model is symmetrical to the in-degree distribution. Then we prove tight concentration for $n_{in}(d,t)$ while d grows up to the moment when $n_{in}(d,t)$ decreases to $\ln^2 t$; if d grows even faster, $n_{in}(d,t)$ is zero **whp**. Furthermore, we study a more complicated statistic of the graph: $X(d_1,d_2,t)$ is the total number of edges from a vertex of out-degree d_1 to a vertex of in-degree d_2. We also find an asymptotic formula for the expectation of $X(d_1,d_2,t)$ and prove a tight concentration result.

1 Introduction

The real world has many interesting structures which can be thought of as graphs. A typical example is the World Wide Web: one can consider web pages to be vertices of a graph and hyperlinks to be edges. One of productive methods for studying these graphs involves investigation of a suitable random graph model.

First models of random graphs were constructed and investigated long ago. Classical models and results are systematized, for example, in [1] and [2]. However, they are not suitable for approximation of dynamically changing and non-uniform networks. In particular, the degree sequences of the graphs in these models are very far from those observed in reality.

Recently other models of random graphs were constructed to more closely match the growth of real networks. One of the first descriptions of such a model belongs to the article [3] by Barabási and Albert. The graph in this model grows sequentially in discrete steps. Each step adds one vertex and several edges that connect a new vertex with existing ones according to the "preferential attachment" rule: the probability of an existing vertex to receive a new edge depends on the current degree of this node, so more "popular" nodes are more attractive for new edges. In [3], the probability is proportional to the current degree; [3] gives some heuristic arguments suggesting that the number of vertices with degree d decreases proportional to d^{-3}. The same quantity in real networks decreases proportional to $d^{-\gamma}$ with different γ for different networks, following the so called "power law". Later, Bollobás, Riordan et al. proposed an explicit model in [4] based on the preferential attachment rule. The model of [4] resolves some ambiguities of [3]; also, [4] rigorously proves a theorem about degree sequence.

In [5] and [6] two groups of researchers independently proposed to add to the model one more parameter — an "initial attractiveness" of a node which is a positive constant not depending on the degree. Equivalently, the probability in the proposed model is a linear function in the degree. Articles [5] and [6] use some heuristic arguments to show that the model allows to obtain the power law for degree sequence with any exponent less than -2. Buckley and Osthus in [7] formalized the model and rigorously proved the power law when all the parameters are natural numbers and the degree grows slowly compared to the number of vertices. We analyzed this model in [8], removing the restriction on degree and considering also the quantity similar to $X(t,d_1,d_2)$ in the current work.

Cooper and Frieze analyzed a quite general model in [9]. There are two different procedures for updating the graph in this model: adding a new vertex with several edges and adding several edges to an already existing vertex. At every step, one of those procedures is selected at random independently of other steps.

All models mentioned above yield essentially an undirected graph; although edges have a natural direction, the out-degree sequence is unnatural. In particular, in models [4] and [7] all vertices have the same out-degree. Two models of directed graphs were suggested in [10] and [11]. Both models have three different procedures for updating the graph and select one of them at random for every step independently. Both models mix preferential attachment with uniform random selection of source and target vertices, but the details of mixing are different: [10] follows [7] and assigns probabilities that are proportional to a linear shift of vertex degree,

*Research division in Yandex, Moscow.

while [11] follows [9] and uses weighted sum of probability of preferential attachment (that is proportional to vertex degree) and probability of uniform selection. Also, model of [10] always creates a vertex with one edge (incoming or outgoing) in the name of simplicity, while model of [11] allows an arbitrary finite distribution for number of edges created with a new vertex in the name of generality. These models tend to give similar results, though.

2 The model and formulation of results

We analyze the model $\mathcal{G}(t)$ of a random graph introduced in [10]. Denote the in-degree of a vertex v as $d_{in}(v)$ and the out-degree of a vertex v as $d_{out}(v)$.

- There are 6 parameters $\alpha \in [0,1]$, $\beta \in [0,1]$, $\gamma \in [0,1]$, $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=1$, $\delta_{in} \geq 0$, $\delta_{out} \geq 0$, a graph G_0.
- The graph G_0 should contain at least one vertex. If $\delta_{in}=0$ or $\delta_{out}=0$, it should also contain at least one edge.
- There is also time $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \geq t_0$, where t_0 is the number of edges in G_0.
- The probability space at time t_0 contains only one graph G_0.
- Given a random graph G at time t, a random graph at time $t+1$ is constructed from G by one of the following processes.
  - † With probability α, add a new vertex v and an edge from v to one of vertices in G. The target vertex is selected randomly, a vertex $w \in G$ is selected with probability $\frac{d_{in}(w)+\delta_{in}}{t+\delta_{in}n}$.
  - †† With probability β, add an edge from a random vertex $v \in G$ to a random vertex $w \in G$. A vertex v is selected with probability $\frac{d_{out}(v)+\delta_{out}}{t+\delta_{out}n}$, a vertex w is selected independently of v with probability $\frac{d_{in}(w)+\delta_{in}}{t+\delta_{in}n}$.
  - ‡ With probability γ, add a new vertex w and an edge from one of vertices in G to w. The source vertex is selected randomly, a vertex $v \in G$ is selected with probability $\frac{d_{out}(v)+\delta_{out}}{t+\delta_{out}n}$.
- It is easy to see that a random graph at time t has exactly t edges and a random number of vertices concentrated around $(\alpha+\gamma)t$.

We assume that $\alpha+\gamma>0$; otherwise number of vertices would not change over time.

Let $\overline{c}_{in}=\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\delta_{in}(\alpha+\gamma)}$ and $\overline{c}_{out}=\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\delta_{out}(\alpha+\gamma)}$.

Our first topic is the in-degree sequence in this model. Obviously, the out-degree sequence has the same structure with exchanging $\alpha \leftrightarrow \gamma$ and $\delta_{in} \leftrightarrow \delta_{out}$. Let $n_{in}(t,d)$ be a number of vertices with in-degree d in a random graph $G \in \mathcal{G}(t)$. There are two special cases for the in-degree sequence that are not interesting. If $\alpha+\beta=0$, then $\overline{c}_{in}=0$ and every vertex not in G_0 has in-degree 1. If $\gamma+\delta_{in}=0$, then $\overline{c}_{in}=1$ and every vertex not in G_0 has in-degree 0. Otherwise, $0<\overline{c}_{in}<1$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{f_0} &= \frac{\alpha}{1+\delta_{in}\overline{c}_{in}},\\
\overline{f_d} &= C_{in}\frac{\Gamma(d+\delta_{in})}{\Gamma\left(d+\delta_{in}+1+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}\right)} \text{ for } d \geq 1,\\
C_{in} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}\right)}{\Gamma(1+\delta_{in})}\frac{1-\overline{c}_{in}}{{\overline{c}_{in}}^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\overline{f_d} \sim C_{in} d^{-1-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}}$ as d grows due to a standard result about the gamma-function (e.g. [12, 6.1.47]).

The article [10] proves that $n_{in}(t,d)=\overline{f_d}t(1+o_d(1))$ (with probability tending to 1 as $t \to \infty$), i.e. the power law when d is fixed. The article [11] proves that $n_{in}(t,d)=\overline{f_d}t\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log t}}\right)\right)$ (again, with probability tending to 1 as $t \to \infty$) for $d \leq \min\left\{t^{\overline{c}_{in}/3}, \frac{t^{1/6}}{\log^2 t}\right\}$ (in the model of [11] after an appropriate mapping of parameters); for the maximal value of d covered by [11] we have $n_{in}(t,d) \gg t^{\max\left\{\frac{2-\overline{c}_{in}}{3}, \frac{5-1/\overline{c}_{in}}{6}\right\}} \geq \sqrt{t}$. Our results are valid for all possible degrees, give the concentration up to the moment where $n_{in}(t,d)$ becomes $O(\ln^2 t)$ and have much better remainder term for values of d covered by [10] and [11].

Theorem 1. *Let $\alpha+\beta>0$ and $\gamma+\delta_{in}>0$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrarily small. Then*

$$\mathbf{E}n_{in}(t,d)=\overline{f_d}t+O\left((d+1)^{-1+\varepsilon}\right).$$

Theorem 2. *Let $\alpha+\beta>0$ and $\gamma+\delta_{in}>0$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrarily small. Let $d=d(t)$. Then*

$$|n_{in}(t,d)-\overline{f_d}t|\le\left(\sqrt{\overline{f_d}t}+(d+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)\ln t$$

with probability tending to 1 as $t\to\infty$.

When $d=o\left(\left(\frac{t}{\ln^2 t}\right)^{\frac{\overline{c}_{in}}{1+\overline{c}_{in}}}\right)$, that is equivalent to $\ln^2 t=o\left(\overline{f_d}t\right)$, Theorem 2 implies the equivalence (with probability tending to 1 as $d,t\to\infty$)

$$n_{in}(t,d)\sim C_{in}d^{-1-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}}t.$$

When $t^{\frac{\overline{c}_{in}}{1+\overline{c}_{in}}}=o(d)$, Theorem 1 implies $\mathbf{E}n_{in}(t,d)=o(1)$; since $n_{in}(t,d)$ is an integer number by definition, $n_{in}(t,d)=0$ (again, with probability tending to 1 as $d,t\to\infty$). Thus, we have an almost entire picture of what happens to $n_{in}(t,d)$.

Our second topic concerns the expected number of edges (or probability of an edge) between two given vertices. Suppose that we know their in- and out-degrees, but nothing else. For a fixed vertex, in-degree and out-degree are essentially independent due to the construction (although they both tend to grow with age). Thus, we use only out-degree d_1 of the potential source and in-degree d_2 of the potential target. Theorems 1 and 2 give the total number of vertices with in-degree d_2 and the total number of vertices with out-degree d_1. Thus, we estimate the total number of edges between vertices of given degrees. For this topic, we consider d_1 and d_2 to be fixed, not growing with t, to simplify calculations somewhat.

More precisely, we define the random variable $X(t,d_1,d_2)$ as the total number of edges in a random graph $G\in\mathcal{G}(t)$ with the following property: the out-degree of the source vertex is $d_1\ge 1$, the in-degree of the target vertex is $d_2\ge 1$, source and target vertices are different (i.e. for $d_1=d_2$ we do not count loops).

Define

$$\kappa(c_1,c_2,r,x)=\int_0^x z^{c_1-1}dz\int_0^\infty \tau^{c_1r+c_2-1}e^{-\tau-z\tau^r}d\tau=x^{c_1}\int_0^1 z^{c_1-1}dz\int_0^\infty \tau^{c_1r+c_2-1}e^{-\tau-xz\tau^r}d\tau$$

for $c_1>0$, $c_2>0$, $r>0$, $x\ge 0$. As a function of x, it monotonically increases from 0 to $\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(c_2)$ as x grows from 0 to ∞. The asymptotic behaviour when $x\to 0$ is given by $\kappa(c_1,c_2,r,x)=\left(\frac{\Gamma(c_1r+c_2)}{c_1}+O(x)\right)x^{c_1}$.

Note: in the special case $r=1$ the inner integral can be calculated and κ becomes the incomplete beta-function: $\kappa(c_1,c_2,1,x)=\Gamma(c_1+c_2)\mathrm{B}\left(\frac{x}{1+x};c_1,c_2\right)$. We won't use this fact, but it can be useful to conceive the function κ.

Theorem 3. *Let $\alpha>0$, $\beta>0$, $\gamma>0$, $\delta_{in}>0$, $\delta_{out}>0$, $d_1\ge 2$, $d_2\ge 2$. Then $\mathbf{E}X(t,d_1,d_2)=c_X(d_1,d_2)t+O_{d_1,d_2}(1)$, where*

$$c_X(d_1,d_2)=c_{X1}(d_1,d_2)+c_{X2}(d_1,d_2)+c_{X3}(d_1,d_2)$$

and

$$c_{X1}(d_1,d_2)=d_1^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}}d_2^{-\frac{\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{in}}-1}\sum_{i=0}^{1}A_i\kappa\left(\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}+i,\delta_{in}+\frac{\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{in}}+1,\frac{\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{in}},\frac{d_1}{d_2^{\overline{c}_{out}/\overline{c}_{in}}}\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{d_1}+\frac{1}{d_2}\right)\right),$$

$A_0=\frac{\gamma^2}{(1+\delta_{out}(\alpha+\gamma))\overline{c}_{in}\overline{c}_{out}\Gamma(\delta_{out})\Gamma(1+\delta_{in})}$, $A_1=\frac{\alpha\gamma}{(1+\delta_{out}(\alpha+\gamma))\overline{c}_{in}\overline{c}_{out}\Gamma(1+\delta_{out})\Gamma(1+\delta_{in})}$,

$$c_{X2}(d_1,d_2)=d_1^{-\frac{\overline{c}_{in}}{\overline{c}_{out}}-1}d_2^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}}\sum_{i=0}^{1}B_i\kappa\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}+i,\delta_{out}+\frac{\overline{c}_{in}}{\overline{c}_{out}}+1,\frac{\overline{c}_{in}}{\overline{c}_{out}},\frac{d_2}{d_1^{\overline{c}_{in}/\overline{c}_{out}}}\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{d_1}+\frac{1}{d_2}\right)\right),$$

$B_0=\frac{\alpha^2}{(1+\delta_{in}(\alpha+\gamma))\overline{c}_{in}\overline{c}_{out}\Gamma(1+\delta_{out})\Gamma(\delta_{in})}$, $B_1=\frac{\alpha\gamma}{(1+\delta_{in}(\alpha+\gamma))\overline{c}_{in}\overline{c}_{out}\Gamma(1+\delta_{out})\Gamma(1+\delta_{in})}$.

If $\overline{c}_{in}+\overline{c}_{out}\ne 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}c_{X3}(d_1,d_2)=d_1^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}}d_2^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}}\sum_{i=0}^{1}\sum_{j=0}^{1}\frac{C_{ij}}{1-\overline{c}_{in}-\overline{c}_{out}}\\ \times\left(d_2^{\frac{1-\overline{c}_{in}-\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{in}}}\kappa\left(\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}+i,\delta_{in}+\frac{\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{in}}+1+j,\frac{\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{in}},\frac{d_1}{d_2^{\overline{c}_{out}/\overline{c}_{in}}}\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{d_1}+\frac{1}{d_2}\right)\right)\right.\\ +d_1^{\frac{1-\overline{c}_{in}-\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{out}}}\kappa\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}+j,\delta_{out}+\frac{\overline{c}_{in}}{\overline{c}_{out}}+1+i,\frac{\overline{c}_{in}}{\overline{c}_{out}},\frac{d_2}{d_1^{\overline{c}_{in}/\overline{c}_{out}}}\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{d_1}+\frac{1}{d_2}\right)\right)\\ \left.-\Gamma\left(\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}+i\right)\Gamma\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}+j\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{d_1}+\frac{1}{d_2}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

$C_{00} = \frac{\beta\alpha\gamma}{(1+\delta_{in}(\alpha+\gamma))(1+\delta_{out}(\alpha+\gamma))\overline{c}_{in}\overline{c}_{out}\Gamma(\delta_{out})\Gamma(\delta_{in})}$,
$C_{01} = \frac{\beta\gamma^2}{(1+\delta_{in}(\alpha+\gamma))(1+\delta_{out}(\alpha+\gamma))\overline{c}_{in}\overline{c}_{out}\Gamma(\delta_{out})\Gamma(\delta_{in}+1)}$,
$C_{10} = \frac{\beta\alpha^2}{(1+\delta_{in}(\alpha+\gamma))(1+\delta_{out}(\alpha+\gamma))\overline{c}_{in}\overline{c}_{out}\Gamma(\delta_{out}+1)\Gamma(\delta_{in})}$,
$C_{11} = \frac{\beta\alpha\gamma}{(1+\delta_{in}(\alpha+\gamma))(1+\delta_{out}(\alpha+\gamma))\overline{c}_{in}\overline{c}_{out}\Gamma(\delta_{out}+1)\Gamma(\delta_{in}+1)}$.

If $\overline{c}_{in} + \overline{c}_{out} = 1$, *then*

$$c_{X3}(d_1,d_2) = d_1^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}} d_2^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}} \sum_{i=0}^{1}\sum_{j=0}^{1} C_{ij}\left(\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}\kappa\left(\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}+i, \delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}+j, \frac{\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{in}}, \frac{d_1}{d_2^{\overline{c}_{out}/\overline{c}_{in}}}\right)\ln d_2\right.$$
$$- \frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}\frac{\partial\kappa}{\partial c_2}\left(\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}+i, \delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}+j, \frac{\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{in}}, \frac{d_1}{d_2^{\overline{c}_{out}/\overline{c}_{in}}}\right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}\kappa\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}+j, \delta_{out}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}+i, \frac{\overline{c}_{in}}{\overline{c}_{out}}, \frac{d_2}{d_1^{\overline{c}_{in}/\overline{c}_{out}}}\right)\ln d_1$$
$$\left. - \frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}\frac{\partial\kappa}{\partial c_2}\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}+j, \delta_{out}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}+i, \frac{\overline{c}_{in}}{\overline{c}_{out}}, \frac{d_2}{d_1^{\overline{c}_{in}/\overline{c}_{out}}}\right) + O\left(\frac{\ln d_2}{d_1}+\frac{\ln d_1}{d_2}\right)\right)$$

(where C_{ij} *are same as in the previous case).*

If d_1 *and* d_2 *both grow to infinity such that* $d_1^{\overline{c}_{in}}/d_2^{\overline{c}_{out}} \to 0$, *then*

$$c_X(d_1,d_2) \sim \begin{cases} D_- d_1^{-\frac{\overline{c}_{in}+\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{out}}} d_2^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}}, & \overline{c}_{in}+\overline{c}_{out} < 1 \\ D_0 d_1^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}} d_2^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}} \ln d_1, & \overline{c}_{in}+\overline{c}_{out} = 1 \\ D_+ d_1^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}} d_2^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}}, & \overline{c}_{in}+\overline{c}_{out} > 1, \end{cases}$$

$$D_- = \left(B_0\Gamma\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}\right) + B_1\Gamma\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}+1\right)\right)\Gamma\left(\delta_{out}+\frac{\overline{c}_{in}}{\overline{c}_{out}}+1\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{1}\sum_{j=0}^{1}\frac{C_{ij}}{1-\overline{c}_{in}-\overline{c}_{out}}\Gamma\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}+j\right)\Gamma\left(\delta_{out}+\frac{\overline{c}_{in}}{\overline{c}_{out}}+1+i\right);$$

$$D_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{1}\sum_{j=0}^{1}\frac{C_{ij}}{\overline{c}_{out}}\Gamma\left(\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}+i\right)\Gamma\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}+j\right);$$

$$D_+ = \sum_{i=0}^{1}\sum_{j=0}^{1}\frac{C_{ij}}{\overline{c}_{in}+\overline{c}_{out}-1}\Gamma\left(\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}+i\right)\Gamma\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}+j\right).$$

If d_1 *and* d_2 *both grow to infinity such that* $d_1^{\overline{c}_{in}}/d_2^{\overline{c}_{out}} \to \infty$, *then*

$$c_X(d_1,d_2) \sim \begin{cases} D'_- d_1^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}} d_2^{-\frac{\overline{c}_{in}+\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{in}}}, & \overline{c}_{in}+\overline{c}_{out} < 1 \\ D'_0 d_1^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}} d_2^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}} \ln d_2, & \overline{c}_{in}+\overline{c}_{out} = 1 \\ D_+ d_1^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}} d_2^{-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}}, & \overline{c}_{in}+\overline{c}_{out} > 1, \end{cases}$$

$$D'_- = \left(A_0\Gamma\left(\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}\right) + A_1\Gamma\left(\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}+1\right)\right)\Gamma\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{in}}+1\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{1}\sum_{j=0}^{1}\frac{C_{ij}}{1-\overline{c}_{in}-\overline{c}_{out}}\Gamma\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{in}}+1+j\right)\Gamma\left(\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}+i\right);$$

$$D'_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{1}\sum_{j=0}^{1}\frac{C_{ij}}{\overline{c}_{in}}\Gamma\left(\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{out}}+i\right)\Gamma\left(\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}+j\right).$$

Note: one can see from the proof that c_{X1}, c_{X2}, c_{X3} have their own physical sense: they give the fraction of edges produced by (‡), (†) and (††) respectively (relative to t, the total number of edges).

Theorem 4. *Let d_1 and d_2 be fixed. In conditions of the previous theorem*

$$|X(t,d_1,d_2) - \mathbf{E}X(t,d_1,d_2)| < \sqrt{t}\ln t$$

with probability tending to 1 as $t \to \infty$.

The average value of the number of edges from a vertex with out-degree d_1 to a vertex with in-degree d_2 is $\frac{X(t,d_1,d_2)}{n_{out}(t,d_1)n_{in}(t,d_2)}$. Since $n_{in}(t,d)$ and $X(t,d_1,d_2)$ are tightly concentrated around their expectations, the main term of the ratio is given by Theorems 1 and 3. In particular, if $\overline{c}_{in} + \overline{c}_{out} > 1$, the average number of edges is proportional to $\frac{d_1 d_2}{t}$, and if $\overline{c}_{in} + \overline{c}_{out} < 1$, it grows somehow between $\frac{1}{t} d_1^{\frac{1-\overline{c}_{in}}{c_{out}}} d_2$ and $\frac{1}{t} d_1 d_2^{\frac{1-\overline{c}_{out}}{\overline{c}_{in}}}$ (tending to the first expression when d_1 is small compared to d_2 and to the second one when d_1 is large; Theorem 3 describes intermediate cases in detail).

3 Expected number of vertices with the given degree

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $n_0 = \#G_0$, $N = n - n_0$, $T = t - t_0$, $A_{in} = t_0 + \delta_{in} n_0$. Let $E_d(T,N) = \mathbf{E}(n_{in}(T + t_0, d) | \#G = N + n_0)$. Obviously,

$$\mathbf{E}n_{in}(T + t_0, d) = \sum_{N=0}^{T} E_d(T,N) \Pr(\#G = N + n_0) = \sum_{N=0}^{T} E_d(T,N)(\alpha+\gamma)^N \beta^{T-N} \binom{T}{N}.$$

If $\beta = 0$, the conditional expectation is defined only when $N = T$; in this case, we will define $E_d(T,N)$ for $N \neq T$ later, the formula holds for any definition.

For $x \in [0,1]$, let $c_{in} = c_{in}(x) = \frac{1 - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha+\gamma} x}{1 + \delta_{in} x} \in [0,1]$ and $c_{out} = c_{out}(x) = \frac{1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\gamma} x}{1 + \delta_{out} x} \in [0,1]$. Note that $\overline{c}_{in} = c_{in}(\alpha+\gamma)$, $\overline{c}_{out} = c_{out}(\alpha+\gamma)$. Let $p_{out,0} = p_{in,1} = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha+\gamma}$, $p_{out,1} = p_{in,0} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\gamma}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $\alpha + \beta > 0$, $\gamma + \delta_{in} > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$. For $T \geq 1$, $d \geq 0$, $0 \leq N \leq T$,*

$$E_d(T,N) = T f_d\left(\frac{N}{T}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{1 + (d + \delta_{in} + 1)^{1-\varepsilon} N^2 / T^2}\right),$$

where

$$f_0(x) = \frac{ax}{1 + c_{in}\delta_{in}}, \qquad a = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\gamma},$$

$$f_d(x) = \frac{1 - c_{in}}{(1 + c_{in}\delta_{in})(1 + c_{in}(\delta_{in}+1))} \prod_{i=2}^{d} \frac{c_{in}(\delta_{in} + i - 1)}{1 + c_{in}(\delta_{in} + i)} \text{ for } d \geq 1.$$

If $\delta_{in} > 0$ and either $\alpha > 0$ or $x < 1$, then

$$f_d(x) = x \sum_{i=0}^{1} [d \geq i] p_{in,i} \frac{\Gamma\left(i + \delta_{in} + \frac{1}{c_{in}}\right)}{c_{in}\Gamma(i + \delta_{in})} \frac{\Gamma(d + \delta_{in})}{\Gamma\left(d + 1 + \delta_{in} + \frac{1}{c_{in}}\right)}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality assume $\varepsilon < 1$.

First, derive a recurrent equation for $E_d(T,N)$. Let $\deg_{in,T}(i)$ denote in-degree of a vertex i in a random graph $G_T \in \mathcal{G}(T + t_0)$.

$$E_d(T+1,N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N+n_0} \Pr(\deg_{in,T+1}(i) = d | \#G_{T+1} = N + n_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{N+n_0} \frac{\Pr(\deg_{in,T+1}(i) = d, \#G_{T+1} = N + n_0)}{\Pr(\#G_{T+1} = N + n_0)}.$$

The denominator is easy to calculate: $\Pr(\#G_{T+1} = N + n_0) = (\alpha+\gamma)^N \beta^{T+1-N} \binom{T+1}{N}$.

Let G_{T+1} be a random graph from $\mathcal{G}(T + t_0 + 1)$ with $N + n_0$ vertices. The numerator can be expressed as a sum $\Pr(..., \dagger) + \Pr(..., \ddagger) + \Pr(..., \dagger\dagger)$ of probabilities with additional condition that G_{T+1} is constructed from $G_T \in \mathcal{G}(T + t_0)$ using ($\dagger$), ($\ddagger$), ($\dagger\dagger$) respectively.

- If G_{T+1} is constructed from $G_T \in \mathcal{G}(T + t_0)$ using ($\dagger$), then $N > 0$, G_T has $N + n_0 - 1$ vertices. The last vertex in G_{T+1} has in-degree 0. For $i \in G_T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr(\deg_{in,T+1}(i) = d, \#G_{T+1} = N + n_0, \dagger) \\
&\quad = \alpha \Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i) = d, \#G_T = N + n_0 - 1) \left(1 - \frac{d + \delta_{in}}{T + \delta_{in} N + A_{in} - \delta_{in}}\right) \\
&\qquad + \alpha \Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i) = d - 1, \#G_T = N + n_0 - 1) \frac{d - 1 + \delta_{in}}{T + \delta_{in} N + A_{in} - \delta_{in}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\frac{\Pr(\#G_T = N + n_0 - 1)}{\Pr(\#G_{T+1} = N + n_0)} = \frac{(\alpha+\gamma)^{N-1}\beta^{T+1-N}\binom{T}{N-1}}{(\alpha+\gamma)^{N}\beta^{T+1-N}\binom{T+1}{N}} = \frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}.$$

- If G_{T+1} is constructed from $G_T \in \mathcal{G}(T+t_0)$ using (††), then $N < T+1$, G_T has $N+n_0$ vertices. For each of them

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\deg_{in,T+1}(i) = d, \#G_{T+1} = N+n_0, \dagger\dagger)&\\ = \beta \Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i) = d, \#G_T = N+n_0)\left(1 - \frac{d+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}\right)&\\ + \beta \Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i) = d-1, \#G_T = N+n_0)\frac{d-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}.&\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\frac{\Pr(\#G_T = N+n_0)}{\Pr(\#G_{T+1} = N+n_0)} = \frac{(\alpha+\gamma)^{N}\beta^{T-N}\binom{T}{N}}{(\alpha+\gamma)^{N}\beta^{T+1-N}\binom{T+1}{N}} = \frac{T+1-N}{\beta(T+1)}.$$

- If G_{T+1} is constructed from $G_T \in \mathcal{G}(T+t_0)$ using (‡), then $N > 0$, G_T has $N+n_0-1$ vertices. The last vertex in G_{T+1} has in-degree 1. For $i \in G_T$,

$$\Pr(\deg_{in,T+1}(i) = d, \#G_{T+1} = N+n_0, \ddagger) = \gamma \Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i) = d, \#G_T = N+n_0-1).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}E_d(T+1,N) = [N>0, d=0]\alpha\frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}&\\ + [N>0]\alpha E_d(T,N-1)\left(1 - \frac{d+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}-\delta_{in}}\right)\frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}&\\ + [N>0]\alpha E_{d-1}(T,N-1)\frac{d-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}-\delta_{in}}\frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}&\\ + [N<T+1]\beta E_d(T,N)\left(1 - \frac{d+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}\right)\frac{T+1-N}{\beta(T+1)}&\\ + [N<T+1]\beta E_{d-1}(T,N)\frac{d-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}\frac{T+1-N}{\beta(T+1)}&\\ + [N>0, d=1]\gamma\frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)} + [N>0]\gamma E_d(T,N-1)\frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}.&\quad (1)\end{aligned}$$

Note that the right-hand side of (1) for $N = T+1$ depends only on $E_d(T,T)$ and not other values of $E_d(T,T)$. If $\beta = 0$, define $E_d(T,N)$ for $N \neq T$ recurrently so that (1) holds.

We need to establish some properties of $f_d(x)$. A straightforward calculation shows that two definitions of $f_d(x)$ from the statement of the lemma are indeed equivalent (when the second one is defined) and that $f_d(x)$ satisfy to the following recurrent equation:

$$\left(\frac{1-x+ax}{1+\delta_{in}x}(d+\delta_{in})+1\right)f_d(x) = \frac{1-x+ax}{1+\delta_{in}x}(d+\delta_{in}-1)f_{d-1}(x) + x\left([d=0]a + [d=1](1-a)\right), \quad (2)$$

assuming $f_{-1}(x) = 0$. It is obvious from the first definition of $f_d(x)$ that $f_d(x)$ is analytical for $x \in [0,1]$ and any fixed d. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=2}^{d}\frac{c_{in}(\delta_{in}+i-1)}{1+c_{in}(\delta_{in}+i)} \le \prod_{i=2}^{d}\frac{\delta_{in}+i-1}{\delta_{in}+i+\frac{1}{c_{in}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} \le \prod_{i=2}^{d}\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta_{in}+i+\frac{1}{c_{in}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}\right)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}&\\ = \left(\frac{d+\delta_{in}+1+\frac{1}{c_{in}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}{\delta_{in}+2+\frac{1}{c_{in}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}\right)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} \le \left(\delta_{in}+2+\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1+\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}&\\ = O\left((d+\delta_{in}+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}\right), \quad (3)&\end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant does not depend on x. Furthermore, for $d \geq 3$ and $c_{in} \neq 0$ we have $\prod_{i=2}^{d} \frac{c_{in}(\delta_{in}+i-1)}{1+c_{in}(\delta_{in}+i)} = O\left(c_{in}^2 (d+\delta_{in}+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}\right)$, so

$$\frac{d}{dx}\prod_{i=2}^{d}\frac{c_{in}(\delta_{in}+i-1)}{1+c_{in}(\delta_{in}+i)} = c'_{in}\left(\prod_{i=2}^{d}\frac{c_{in}(\delta_{in}+i-1)}{1+c_{in}(\delta_{in}+i)}\right)\left(\sum_{i=2}^{d}\frac{1}{c_{in}(1+c_{in}(\delta_{in}+i))}\right)$$
$$= O\left((d+\delta_{in}+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}\sum_{i=2}^{d}\frac{c_{in}}{1+c_{in}(\delta_{in}+i)}\right) = O\left((d+\delta_{in}+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}\sum_{i=2}^{d}\frac{1}{1+\delta_{in}+i}\right)$$
$$= O\left((d+\delta_{in}+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}\ln d\right) = O\left((d+\delta_{in}+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}\right),$$

where the implied constant again does not depend on x; since $f'_d(x)$ is continuous, we have

$$f'_d(x) = O\left((d+\delta_{in}+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}\right)$$

for any $x \in [0,1]$ (even in the point $x=1$, where c_{in} may be zero) and any d (because obviously, $f'_0(x) = O(1)$, $f'_1(x) = O(1)$, $f'_2(x) = O(1)$). Similarly,

$$f''_d(x) = O\left((d+\delta_{in}+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}\ln^2(d+2)\right) = O\left((d+\delta_{in}+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}\right).$$

For this theorem, it is more convenient to use weaker bounds that do not depend on x: $f_d(x) = O((d+1)^{-2+\varepsilon})$, $f'_d(x) = O((d+1)^{-2+\varepsilon})$, $f''_d(x) = O((d+1)^{-2+\varepsilon})$. A stronger bound (3) would not improve the final bound; we will need it for the next theorem, though.

The case $d = \delta_{in} = 0$ is special. In this case, (1) becomes

$$E_0(T+1,N) = \frac{aN}{T+1} + [N>0]E_0(T,N-1)\frac{N}{T+1} + [N<T+1]E_0(T,N)\frac{T+1-N}{T+1}$$

The solution is $E_0(T,N) = aN + E_0(0,0)$, it satisfies the condition of the lemma.

We use induction by T and, for fixed T, by d to prove the formula

$$\left|E_d(T,N) - Tf_d\left(\frac{N}{T}\right)\right| \leq C\left(d, \frac{N}{T}\right), \qquad 0 \leq N \leq T, \tag{4}$$

where $C(d,x) = \frac{C_0}{1+(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2}$ and C_0 be some sufficiently large constant that will be determined later.

Select T_0 such that for any $T \geq T_0$ we have $(T+t_0+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon} \leq T\frac{\varepsilon}{12(1+2\delta_{in}+A_{in})}$ and $(T+t_0+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon} \leq T\frac{\delta_{in}}{12(1+2\delta_{in}+A_{in})}$ if $\delta_{in} > 0$. There are only finitely many pairs (T,N) with $T \leq T_0$, so we can select C_0 such that (4) holds for $T \leq T_0$.

Before proceeding with induction, we need to establish (4) for $d \geq T+t_0$ and any $T \geq T_0$. In this case, $Tf_d(x) = O(Td^{-2+\varepsilon}) = O(d^{-1+\varepsilon})$, so we need to prove that $E_d(T,N) \leq C\left(d, \frac{N}{T}\right)$ (and then increase C_0 to account for $Tf_d(x)$). If $d > T+t_0$, it is trivial because $E_d(T,N) = 0$. There can be only one vertex with in-degree $d = T+t_0$, and it exists only if ($\ddagger$) has not been used after the first step and the same target vertex was selected at every step; the probability of that given $\#G = N+n_0$ is not greater than $\prod_{i=2}^{N} a\frac{T+t_0+\delta_{in}}{T+t_0+\delta_{in}i} = a^{N-1}\exp\sum_{i=2}^{N}\ln\left(1-\frac{\delta_{in}(i-1)}{T+t_0+\delta_{in}i}\right) \leq a^{N-1}\left(\exp\frac{\delta_{in}N(N-1)}{2(T(1+\delta_{in})+t_0)}\right)^{-1} \leq \frac{a^{N-1}}{1+\frac{\delta_{in}N(N-1)}{2(T(1+\delta_{in})+t_0)}}$. Since $\gamma+\delta_{in} > 0$, we have either $a < 1$ or $\delta_{in} > 0$, in both cases $E_d(T,N) = O\left(\frac{1}{1+N^2/T}\right)$, so (4) holds for $T \geq T_0$.

Now assume that (4) is proved for some value of $T \geq T_0$ and consider the value $T+1$. Assume also that $d < T+1+t_0$.

Let $0 \leq N \leq T+1$ and $x = \frac{N}{T+1}$. If $N \neq T+1$, the inductive hypothesis and the Taylor formula imply that

$$E_d(T,N) = Tf_d\left(x+\frac{x}{T}\right) + \theta_1 = Tf_d(x) + xf'_d(x) + \frac{x^2}{2T}f''_d(\xi) + \theta_1$$
$$= Tf_d(x) + xf'_d(x) + O\left(\frac{(d+1)^{-2+\varepsilon}}{T}\right) + \theta_1,$$

where $|\theta_1| \leq C\left(d, x+\frac{x}{T}\right)$. Similarly

$$E_{d-1}(T,N) = Tf_{d-1}(x) + O\left((d+1)^{-2+\varepsilon}\right) + \theta_2$$

for $d \geq 1$ with $|\theta_2| \leq C\left(d-1, x+\frac{x}{T}\right)$. The same holds for $d=0$ with $\theta_2 = 0$.

If $N \neq 0$, we have for the same reasons

$$E_d(T, N-1) = Tf_d\left(x - \frac{1-x}{T}\right) + \theta_3 = Tf_d(x) - (1-x)f'_d(x) + \frac{(1-x)^2}{2T}f''_d(\xi) + \theta_3$$
$$= Tf_d(x) - (1-x)f'_d(x) + O\left(\frac{(1-x)(d+1)^{-2+\varepsilon}}{T}\right) + \theta_3$$

and $E_{d-1}(T, N-1) = Tf_{d-1}(x) + O\left((1-x)(d+1)^{-2+\varepsilon}\right) + \theta_4$, $|\theta_3| \leq C\left(d, x - \frac{1-x}{T}\right)$, $\theta_4 = 0$ for $d = 0$, $|\theta_4| \leq C\left(d-1, x - \frac{1-x}{T}\right)$ for $d \geq 1$.

Now (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
E_d(T+1, N) &= [d=0]ax + [d=1](1-a)x \\
&+ x\left(Tf_d(x) - (1-x)f'_d(x) + O\left(\frac{(1-x)(d+1)^{-2+\varepsilon}}{T}\right)\right)\left(1 - \frac{a(d+\delta_{in})}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)} + O\left(\frac{a}{T}\right)\right) \\
&+ ax\left(Tf_{d-1}(x) + O\left((1-x)(d+1)^{-2+\varepsilon}\right)\right)\frac{d-1+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\right) \\
&+ (1-x)\left(Tf_d(x) + xf'_d(x) + O\left(\frac{(d+1)^{-2+\varepsilon}}{T}\right)\right)\left(1 - \frac{d+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)} + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\right) \\
&+ (1-x)\left(Tf_{d-1}(x) + O\left((d+1)^{-2+\varepsilon}\right)\right)\frac{d-1+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\right) \\
&+ x\theta_3\left(1 - \frac{a(d+\delta_{in})}{T(1+\delta_{in}x) + \delta_{in}x + A_{in} - \delta_{in}}\right) + ax\theta_4\frac{d-1+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x) + \delta_{in}x + A_{in} - \delta_{in}} \\
&+ (1-x)\theta_1\left(1 - \frac{d+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x) + \delta_{in}x + A_{in}}\right) + (1-x)\theta_2\frac{d-1+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x) + \delta_{in}x + A_{in}}, \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

we have dropped indicators $[N > 0]$ and $[N < T+1]$ because everything in the right-hand side is defined at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ too and corresponding terms are zero anyway due to factors x and $1-x$.

Expand (5):

$$\begin{aligned}
E_d(T+1, N) &= Tf_d(x) + [d=0]ax + [d=1](1-a)x \\
&- xf_d(x)\frac{a(d+\delta_{in})}{1+\delta_{in}x} + axf_{d-1}(x)\frac{d-1+\delta_{in}}{1+\delta_{in}x} - (1-x)f_d(x)\frac{d+\delta_{in}}{1+\delta_{in}x} + (1-x)f_{d-1}(x)\frac{d-1+\delta_{in}}{1+\delta_{in}x} \\
&+ O\left(\frac{(1-x)(d+1)^{-1+\varepsilon}}{T}\right) + O\left(\frac{ax(d+1)^{-1+\varepsilon}}{T}\right) \\
&+ x\theta_3\left(1 - \frac{a(d+\delta_{in})}{T(1+\delta_{in}x) + \delta_{in}x + A_{in} - \delta_{in}}\right) + ax\theta_4\frac{d-1+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x) + \delta_{in}x + A_{in} - \delta_{in}} \\
&+ (1-x)\theta_1\left(1 - \frac{d+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x) + \delta_{in}x + A_{in}}\right) + (1-x)\theta_2\frac{d-1+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x) + \delta_{in}x + A_{in}},
\end{aligned}$$

The sum of terms without θ_i and $O(\cdot)$ equals $(T+1)f_d(x)$ due to (2). Denote the sum of other terms as θ. Then

$$E_d(T+1, N) = (T+1)f_d(x) + \theta,$$

so we need to prove that $|\theta| \leq C(d, x)$.

The expression $x\left(1 - \frac{a(d+\delta_{in})}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in}-\delta_{in}}\right) = \frac{N}{T+1}\left(1 - \frac{a(d+\delta_{in})}{T+\delta_{in}N+t_0+\delta_{in}n_0-\delta_{in}}\right)$ is always non-negative (provided that $d \leq T + t_0$). The expression $1 - \frac{d+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in}} = 1 - \frac{d+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+t_0+\delta_{in}n_0}$ is also always non-negative. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\theta| &\leq xC\left(d, x - \frac{1-x}{T}\right) + (1-x)C\left(d, x + \frac{x}{T}\right) \\
&- \frac{ax}{T(1+\delta_{in}x) + \delta_{in}x + A_{in} - \delta_{in}}\left((d+\delta_{in})C\left(d, x - \frac{1-x}{T}\right) - [d \geq 1](d-1+\delta_{in})C\left(d-1, x - \frac{1-x}{T}\right)\right) \\
&- \frac{1-x}{T(1+\delta_{in}x) + \delta_{in}x + A_{in}}\left((d+\delta_{in})C\left(d, x + \frac{x}{T}\right) - [d \geq 1](d-1+\delta_{in})C\left(d-1, x + \frac{x}{T}\right)\right) \\
&+ O\left(\frac{1-x+ax}{(d+1)^{1-\varepsilon}T}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have $|C''(d,x)| = C_0\left|-\frac{2(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}}{(1+(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2)^2} + \frac{8(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{2-2\varepsilon}x^2}{(1+(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2)^3}\right| \le C_0\frac{6(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}}{(1+(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2)^2} \le 6(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}C(d,x)$. Using the Taylor formula, we obtain

$$\left|xC\left(d, x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right) + (1-x)C\left(d, x+\frac{x}{T}\right) - C(d,x)\right| = \left|\frac{x(1-x)^2}{2T^2}C''(d,\xi_1) + \frac{(1-x)x^2}{2T^2}C''(d,\xi_2)\right| \le \frac{3x(1-x)(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}C\left(d, x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)}{T^2}.$$

If $d \ge 1$, then

$$(d+\delta_{in})C(d,x) - (d-1+\delta_{in})C(d-1,x) = C_0\int_{d-1}^{d}\left(\frac{z+\delta_{in}}{1+(z+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2}\right)'_z dz$$

$$= C_0\int_{d-1}^{d}\frac{1+\varepsilon(z+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2 + (1-\varepsilon)(z+\delta_{in}+1)^{-\varepsilon}x^2}{(1+(z+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2)^2}dz \ge C_0\frac{\varepsilon}{1+(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2} = \varepsilon C(d,x). \quad (6)$$

Thus, for $d \ge 1$

$$|\theta| \le C(d,x) + \frac{3x(1-x)(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}C\left(d, x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)}{T^2} - \frac{ax\varepsilon C\left(d, x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in}-\delta_{in}} - \frac{(1-x)\varepsilon C\left(d, x+\frac{x}{T}\right)}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in}} + O\left(\frac{1-x+ax}{(d+1)^{1-\varepsilon}T}\right).$$

Since $T \ge T_0$ and $d \le T + t_0$, we have $(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon} \le T\frac{\varepsilon}{12}$,

$$\frac{C\left(d, x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)}{C\left(d, x+\frac{x}{T}\right)} = \frac{1+(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}\left(x+\frac{x}{T}\right)^2}{1+(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}\left(x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)^2} \le 1+(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}\frac{2\left(x+\frac{x}{T}\right)}{T} \le 2,$$

so $\frac{3x(1-x)(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}C\left(d,x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)}{T^2} \le \frac{\varepsilon(1-x)C\left(d,x+\frac{x}{T}\right)}{2T(1+2\delta_{in}+A_{in})} \le \frac{\varepsilon(1-x)C\left(d,x+\frac{x}{T}\right)}{2(T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in})}$. Since $C(d,x) \ge \frac{C_0}{2(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}}$,

$$|\theta| \le C(d,x) - \frac{(1-x+ax)\varepsilon C_0}{4(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}(T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in})} + O\left(\frac{1-x+ax}{(d+1)^{1-\varepsilon}T}\right).$$

Take C_0 such that $\frac{(1-x+ax)\varepsilon C_0}{4(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}(T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in})} \ge O\left(\frac{1-x+ax}{(d+1)^{1-\varepsilon}T}\right)$, then (4) follows.

If $d = 0$ and $\delta_{in} = 0$, (4) was already proved. The case $d = 0$ and $\delta_{in} > 0$ is similar to the case $d \ge 1$ with the factor ε from (6) replaced with δ_{in}.

□

Lemma 2. *Let n be a random variable that takes the value N with probability $\Pr(n=N) = \Pr(\#G_T = N+n_0)$. Let $f(x) \in C^2[0,1]$. Then*

$$\mathbf{E}f\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) = f(\alpha+\gamma) + O\left(\frac{\max_{0\le x\le 1}|f''(x)|}{T}\right).$$

Proof. Obviously, $\mathbf{E}1 = 1$ and $\mathbf{E}n = (\alpha+\gamma)T$. Furthermore,

$$\mathbf{E}(n(n-1)) = \sum_{n=0}^{T} n(n-1)\binom{T}{n}(\alpha+\gamma)^n\beta^{T-n} = \sum_{n=2}^{T} T(T-1)\binom{T}{n-2}(\alpha+\gamma)^n\beta^{T-n} = T(T-1)(\alpha+\gamma)^2.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{n}{T}\right)^2 = (\alpha+\gamma)^2\left(1-\frac{1}{T}\right) + \frac{\alpha+\gamma}{T}.$$

For any $x \in [0,1]$, we have $f(x) = f(\alpha+\gamma) + (x-\alpha-\gamma)f'(\alpha+\gamma) + \frac{(x-\alpha-\gamma)^2}{2}f''(\xi)$, where ξ is some point between x and $\alpha+\gamma$. Therefore,

$$\left|\mathbf{E}f\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) - f(\alpha+\gamma)\right| = \left|\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{T}-\alpha-\gamma\right)^2 f''(\xi)\right)\right| \le \frac{(\alpha+\gamma)-(\alpha+\gamma)^2}{2T}\max_{0\le x\le 1}|f''(x)|.$$

□

Now theorem in the case $\gamma = 0$ follows from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. However, in the case $\gamma > 0$ we need slightly more subtle approach to estimate the remainder term. Azuma–Hoeffding inequality implies that (in terms of Lemma 2) $\Pr(n < (\alpha+\gamma-\lambda)T) \le \exp(-T\lambda^2/2)$ for $\lambda > 0$, so $\mathbf{E}\frac{1}{1+(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}n^2/T^2} = \mathbf{E}\frac{\left[\frac{n}{T}\le\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2}\right]}{1+(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}n^2/T^2} + \mathbf{E}\frac{\left[\frac{n}{T}\ge\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2}\right]}{1+(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}n^2/T^2} \le \exp\left(-T\frac{(\alpha+\gamma)^2}{8}\right) + \frac{1}{1+(d+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}\frac{(\alpha+\gamma)^2}{4}} = O(d^{-1+\varepsilon})$ if $d \le T+t_0$. For $d > T+t_0$ the theorem holds due to $n_{in} = 0$. □

4 Concentration for number of vertices

Let $D_{d_1,d_2}(T,N) = \mathbf{E}\left(n_{in}(T+t_0,d_1)n_{in}(T+t_0,d_2)|\#G = N+n_0\right) - [d_1=d_2]E_{d_1}(T,N)$.

$$D_{d_1,d_2}(T,N) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N+n_0} \Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i)=d_1, \deg_{in,T}(j)=d_2|\#G_T = N+n_0)$$
$$- [d_1=d_2]E_{d_1}(T,N) = \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i\neq j}}^{N+n_0} \Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i)=d_1, \deg_{in,T}(j)=d_2|\#G_T = N+n_0).$$

Lemma 3. *If $\gamma + \delta_{in} > 0$, then*

$$D_{d_1,d_2}(T,N) = T^2 f_{d_1}\left(\frac{N}{T}\right) f_{d_2}\left(\frac{N}{T}\right) + O\left(\frac{T(d_1+\delta_{in}+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}(N/T)+\varepsilon}}}{1+(d_2+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}(N/T)^2} + \frac{T(d_2+\delta_{in}+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}(N/T)+\varepsilon}}}{1+(d_1+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}(N/T)^2}\right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{1}{(1+(d_1+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}(N/T)^2)(1+(d_2+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}(N/T)^2)}\right).$$

Proof. The recurrent equation for $D_{d_1,d_2}(T,N)$ is obtained similarly to (1): for $i,j \in G_T$, $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr(\deg_{in,T+1}(i)=d_1, \deg_{in,T+1}(j)=d_2, \#G_{T+1}=N+n_0, \dagger)\\
&\quad= \alpha\Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i)=d_1, \deg_{in,T}(j)=d_2, \#G_T=N+n_0-1)\left(1-\frac{d_1+d_2+2\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}-\delta_{in}}\right)\\
&\quad+ \alpha\Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i)=d_1-1, \deg_{in,T}(j)=d_2, \#G_T=N+n_0-1)\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}-\delta_{in}}\\
&\quad+ \alpha\Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i)=d_1, \deg_{in,T}(j)=d_2-1, \#G_T=N+n_0-1)\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}-\delta_{in}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr(\deg_{in,T+1}(i)=d_1, \deg_{in,T+1}(j)=d_2, \#G_{T+1}=N+n_0, \dagger\dagger)\\
&\quad= \beta\Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i)=d_1, \deg_{in,T}(j)=d_2, \#G_T=N+n_0)\left(1-\frac{d_1+d_2+2\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}\right)\\
&\quad+ \beta\Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i)=d_1-1, \deg_{in,T}(j)=d_2, \#G_T=N+n_0)\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}\\
&\quad+ \beta\Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i)=d_1, \deg_{in,T}(j)=d_2-1, \#G_T=N+n_0)\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr(\deg_{in,T+1}(i)=d_1, \deg_{in,T+1}(j)=d_2, \#G_{T+1}=N+n_0, \ddagger)\\
&\quad= \gamma\Pr(\deg_{in,T}(i)=d_1, \deg_{in,T+1}(j)=d_2, \#G_T=N+n_0-1);
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&D_{d_1,d_2}(T+1,N)\\
&\quad=[N>0,d_1=0]\alpha E_{d_2}(T,N-1)\frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}+[N>0,d_2=0]\alpha E_{d_1}(T,N-1)\frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}\\
&\qquad+[N>0]\alpha D_{d_1,d_2}(T,N-1)\left(1-\frac{d_1+d_2+2\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}-\delta_{in}}\right)\frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}\\
&\qquad+[N>0]\alpha D_{d_1-1,d_2}(T,N-1)\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}-\delta_{in}}\frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}\\
&\qquad+[N>0]\alpha D_{d_1,d_2-1}(T,N-1)\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}-\delta_{in}}\frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}\\
&\qquad+[N<T+1]\beta D_{d_1,d_2}(T,N)\left(1-\frac{d_1+d_2+2\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}\right)\frac{T+1-N}{\beta(T+1)}\\
&\qquad+[N<T+1]\beta D_{d_1-1,d_2}(T,N)\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}\frac{T+1-N}{\beta(T+1)}\\
&\qquad+[N<T+1]\beta D_{d_1,d_2-1}(T,N)\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}\frac{T+1-N}{\beta(T+1)}\\
&\quad+[N>0,d_1=1]\gamma E_{d_2}(T,N-1)\frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}+[N>0,d_2=1]\gamma E_{d_1}(T,N-1)\frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}\\
&\qquad+[N>0]\gamma D_{d_1,d_2}(T,N-1)\frac{N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}. \quad (7)
\end{aligned}
$$

We use induction by T and, for fixed T, by d_1+d_2 to prove the formula

$$
\left|D_{d_1,d_2}(T,N)-T^2 f_{d_1}\left(\frac{N}{T}\right)f_{d_2}\left(\frac{N}{T}\right)\right|\le C\left(T,d_1,d_2,\frac{N}{T}\right), \quad (8)
$$

$C(T,d_1,d_2,x)=TC_1(d_1,d_2,x)+TC_2(d_1,d_2,x)+C_3(d_1,d_2,x)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_1(d_1,d_2,x) &= C_0\frac{\hat f_{d_1}(x)}{1+(d_2+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2},\\
C_2(d_1,d_2,x) &= C_0\frac{\hat f_{d_2}(x)}{1+(d_1+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2},\\
C_3(d_1,d_2,x) &= \frac{C_0[d_1\ge 1,d_2\ge 1]}{\left(1+(d_1+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2\right)\left(1+(d_2+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2\right)},\\
\hat f_0(x) &= 1,\\
\hat f_d(x) &= \prod_{i=2}^{d}\frac{i+\delta_{in}-1}{i+\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}} \text{ for } d\ge 1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{i+\delta_{in}-1}{i+\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}=1-\left(1+\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}\right)\frac{1}{i+\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}\le\left(1+\frac{1}{i+\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}\right)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}$ and
$\frac{i+\delta_{in}-1}{i+\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}=\left(1+\left(1+\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}\right)\frac{1}{i+\delta_{in}-1}\right)^{-1}\ge\left(1+\frac{1}{i+\delta_{in}-1}\right)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}$, we have

$$
(1+\delta_{in})^{1+\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}(d+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}\le\hat f_d(x)\le\left(2+\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}\left(d+\delta_{in}+1+\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\right)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}},
$$

so $\hat f_d(x)=\Theta\left((d+\delta_{in}+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}\right)$, where both implied constants depend only on ε and parameters of the model. For same reasons as for $f_d(x)$, we have $\frac{\hat f_d'(x)}{\hat f_d(x)}=O\left(\ln(d+\delta_{in}+1)\right)$ and $\frac{\hat f_d''(x)}{\hat f_d(x)}=O\left(\ln^2(d+\delta_{in}+1)\right)$.

Since $\left|\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda x^2}\right)\right|=\frac{2\lambda x}{(1+\lambda x^2)^2}\le\frac{\lambda x}{\sqrt{\lambda x^2}(1+\lambda x^2)}=\sqrt{\lambda}\frac{1}{1+\lambda x^2}$ and $\left|\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda x^2}\right)\right|\le 6\lambda\frac{1}{1+\lambda x^2}$, we have
$\frac{C_i'(d_1,d_2,x)}{C_i(d_1,d_2,x)}=O\left((d_1+\delta_{in}+1)^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}+(d_2+\delta_{in}+1)^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}\right)$ and
$\frac{C_i''(d_1,d_2,x)}{C_i(d_1,d_2,x)}=O\left((d_1+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}+(d_2+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}\right)$. If $\xi\in\left[x-\frac{1-x}{T},x+\frac{x}{T}\right]$ and $d\le T+t_0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat f_d'(\xi)=O\left((d+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}(\xi)+\varepsilon}}\ln(d+1+\delta_{in})\right)&=O\left((d+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}(x)+\varepsilon}+O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)}\ln(d+1+\delta_{in})\right)\\
&=O\left(\hat f_d(x)\ln(d+1+\delta_{in})e^{O\left(\frac{\ln(d+1+\delta_{in})}{T}\right)}\right)=O\left(\hat f_d(x)\ln(d+1+\delta_{in})\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$\max\left\{\left|\hat f_d\left(x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)-\hat f_d(x)\right|,\left|\hat f_d\left(x+\frac{x}{T}\right)-\hat f_d(x)\right|\right\}\le\frac{1}{T}\max_{\xi\in\left[x-\frac{1-x}{T},x+\frac{x}{T}\right]}\left|\hat f_d'(\xi)\right|=\hat f_d(x)O\left(\frac{\ln(d+1+\delta_{in})}{T}\right)$, $\hat f_d\left(x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)=\hat f_d(x)\left(1+O\left(\frac{\ln(d+1+\delta_{in})}{T}\right)\right)$ and $\hat f_d\left(x+\frac{x}{T}\right)=\hat f_d(x)\left(1+O\left(\frac{\ln(d+1+\delta_{in})}{T}\right)\right)$. Moreover, $\left|\frac{1+\lambda x^2}{1+\lambda\xi^2}-1\right|\le\frac{2\lambda|\xi-x|}{1+\lambda\xi^2}\le\sqrt{\lambda}|\xi-x|$, so $C_i\left(d_1,d_2,x+\frac{x}{T}\right)=C_i(d_1,d_2,x)\left(1+O\left(\frac{(d_1+1+\delta_{in})^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}+(d_2+1+\delta_{in})^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}}{T}\right)\right)$ and $C_i\left(d_1,d_2,x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)=C_i(d_1,d_2,x)\left(1+O\left(\frac{(d_1+1+\delta_{in})^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}+(d_2+1+\delta_{in})^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}}{T}\right)\right)$.

Select T_0 such that for $T\ge T_0$ and $d_1+d_2\le T+t_0$ the following inequalities hold:

$$\left|C_i\left(d_1,d_2,x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)-C_i(d_1,d_2,x)\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3}C_i(d_1,d_2,x), \tag{9}$$

$$\left|C_i\left(d_1,d_2,x+\frac{x}{T}\right)-C_i(d_1,d_2,x)\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3}C_i(d_1,d_2,x), \tag{10}$$

$$|C_i''(d_1,d_2,x)| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3(1+2\delta_{in}+A_{in})}TC_i(d_1,d_2,x). \tag{11}$$

(Note that validity of these inequalities does not depend on C_0, so T_0 does not depend on C_0, which has not been selected yet.)

There are only finite number of pairs (T,N) with $T\le T_0$, so it is possible to select C_0 such that (8) holds for $T\le T_0$. This is the base of induction.

Before proceeding with induction, we need to establish (8) for the cases when $1-\frac{d_1+d_2+2\delta_{in}}{T-1+\delta_{in}N+t_0+\delta_{in}n_0-\delta_{in}}<0$. If $d_1+d_2>T+t_0$, then $D_{d_1,d_2}(T,N)=0$, so (8) holds. If $N=O(1)$, then the bound $D_{d_1,d_2}\le(N+n_0)^2=O(1)$ is sufficient. The only other case when the expression above can be negative is $d_1+d_2=T+t_0$ and $\delta_{in}=0$. Then $\gamma>0$ and $a<1$; since $d_1+d_2=T+t_0$ means that (‡) has been used at most once not counting the first step, the probability of that given $\#G_T=N+n_0$ is not greater than Na^{N-2}, so $D_{d_1,d_2}(T,N)\le(N+n_0)Na^{N-2}=O\left(\frac{1}{1+N}\right)$, which is less than $C(T,d_1,d_2,x)$ if C_0 is sufficiently large.

Now assume that (8) is proved for some value of $T\ge T_0$ and consider the value $T+1$. Assume also that $1-\frac{d_1+d_2+2\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+t_0+\delta_{in}n_0-\delta_{in}}\ge 0$. Let $0\le N\le T+1$ and $x=\frac{N}{T+1}$.

If $\xi\in\left[x-\frac{1-x}{T},x+\frac{x}{T}\right]$ and $d\le T+t_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}f_d'(\xi)&=O\left((d+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}(\xi)+\varepsilon}}\right)=O\left((d+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}(x)+\varepsilon}+O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)}\right)\\&=O\left((d+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}(x)+\varepsilon}}\right)e^{O\left(\frac{\ln(d+1+\delta_{in})}{T}\right)}=O\left((d+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}(x)+\varepsilon}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

and $f_d''(\xi)=O\left((d+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}(x)+\varepsilon}}\right)$ for the same reason.

If $N\ne T+1$, the inductive hypothesis and the Taylor formula imply that

$$\begin{aligned}D_{d_1,d_2}(T,N)&=T^2f_{d_1}\left(x+\frac{x}{T}\right)f_{d_2}\left(x+\frac{x}{T}\right)+\theta_1\\&=T^2f_{d_1}(x)f_{d_2}(x)+xT(f_{d_1}(x)f_{d_2}(x))'+O\left((d_1+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}(d_2+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}})\right)+\theta_1,\end{aligned}$$

$$D_{d_1-1,d_2}(T,N)=T^2f_{d_1-1}(x)f_{d_2}(x)+O\left(T(d_1+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}(d_2+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}})\right)+\theta_2,$$

$$D_{d_1,d_2-1}(T,N)=T^2f_{d_1}(x)f_{d_2-1}(x)+O\left(T(d_1+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}(d_2+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}})\right)+\theta_3,$$

where $|\theta_1|\le C\left(T,d_1,d_2,x+\frac{x}{T}\right)$, $|\theta_2|\le C\left(T,d_1-1,d_2,x+\frac{x}{T}\right)$ if $d_1\ge 1$ and $\theta_2=0$ if $d_1=0$, $|\theta_3|\le C\left(T,d_1,d_2-1,x+\frac{x}{T}\right)$ if $d_2\ge 1$ and $\theta_3=0$ if $d_2=0$. If $N\ne 0$, similarly

$$\begin{aligned}D_{d_1,d_2}(T,N-1)&=T^2f_{d_1}\left(x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)f_{d_2}\left(x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)+\theta_4\\&=T^2f_{d_1}(x)f_{d_2}(x)-(1-x)T(f_{d_1}(x)f_{d_2}(x))'+O\left((d_1+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}(d_2+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}})\right)+\theta_4,\end{aligned}$$

$$D_{d_1-1,d_2}(T,N-1)=T^2f_{d_1-1}(x)f_{d_2}(x)+O\left(T(d_1+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}(d_2+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}})\right)+\theta_5,$$

$$D_{d_1,d_2-1}(T,N-1)=T^2f_{d_1}(x)f_{d_2-1}(x)+O\left(T(d_1+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}(d_2+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}})\right)+\theta_6,$$

where $|\theta_4|\le C\left(T,d_1,d_2,x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)$, $|\theta_5|\le C\left(T,d_1-1,d_2,x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)$ if $d_1\ge 1$ and $\theta_5=0$ if $d_1=0$, $|\theta_6|\le C\left(T,d_1,d_2-1,x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)$ if $d_2\ge 1$ and $\theta_6=0$ if $d_2=0$.

Substitute these representations and results of Lemma 1 to (7) and expand:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{d_1,d_2}(T+1,N) = {} & T^2 f_{d_1}(x) f_{d_2}(x) - (1-x+ax)\frac{d_1+d_2+2\delta_{in}}{1+\delta_{in}x} T f_{d_1}(x) f_{d_2}(x) \\
& + (1-x+ax)\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{in}}{1+\delta_{in}x} T f_{d_1-1}(x) f_{d_2}(x) + (1-x+ax)\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{1+\delta_{in}x} T f_{d_1}(x) f_{d_2-1}(x) \\
& + [d_1=0] axT f_{d_2}(x) + [d_2=0] axT f_{d_1}(x) + [d_1=1](1-a)xT f_{d_2}(x) + [d_2=1](1-a)xT f_{d_1}(x) \\
& + O\left((d_1+d_2+2)(d_1+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}(d_2+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}\right) \\
& + O\left(\frac{ax[d_1=0]+(1-a)x[d_1=1]}{1+(d_2+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{ax[d_2=0]+(1-a)x[d_2=1]}{1+(d_1+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2}\right) \\
& + \theta_1(1-x)\left(1-\frac{d_1+d_2+2\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in}}\right) \\
& + \theta_2(1-x)\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in}} + \theta_3(1-x)\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in}} \\
& + \theta_4 x\left(1-\frac{a(d_1+d_2+2\delta_{in})}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in}-\delta_{in}}\right) \\
& + \theta_5 ax\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in}-\delta_{in}} + \theta_6 ax\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in}-\delta_{in}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum of terms without θ_i and $O(\cdot)$ equals $T^2 f_{d_1}(x) f_{d_2}(x) + 2T f_{d_1}(x) f_{d_2}(x)$ due to (2). Denote the sum of other terms as $\theta + f_{d_1}(x) f_{d_2}(x)$. Then $D_{d_1,d_2}(T+1,N) = (T+1)^2 f_{d_1}(x) f_{d_2}(x) + \theta$, so we need to prove that $|\theta| \le (T+1)(C_1(d_1,d_2,x) + C_2(d_1,d_2,x)) + C_3(d_1,d_2,x)$.

From the Taylor formula and (11) it follows that

$$
\left| xC\left(T,d_1,d_2,x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right) + (1-x)C\left(T,d_1,d_2,x+\frac{x}{T}\right) - C(T,d_1,d_2,x)\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon x(1-x)C(T,d_1,d_2,x)}{6T(1+2\delta_{in}+A_{in})}.
$$

We know from (6) that $(d_1+\delta_{in})C_3(d_1,d_2,x) - (d_1-1+\delta_{in})C_3(d_1-1,d_2,x) \ge \varepsilon C_3(d_1,d_2,x)$ if $d_1 \ge 2$ and the same holds for $d_1 = 0$ and $d_1 = 1$ due to $C_3(0,d_2,x) = 0$. Similarly, $(d+\delta_{in})(d+1+\delta_{in})^{-1+\varepsilon} - (d-1+\delta_{in})(d+\delta_{in})^{-1+\varepsilon} = \int_{d+\delta_{in}}^{d+1+\delta_{in}} \left((z-1)z^{-1+\varepsilon}\right)'_z dz \ge 0$ if $d \ge 1$, so $(d_1+\delta_{in})C_2(d_1,d_2,x) - (d_1-1+\delta_{in})C_2(d_1-1,d_2,x) \ge 0$ (even for $d_1 = 0$). It follows from the definition of $\hat{f}_d(x)$ that $(d_1+\delta_{in})C_1(d_1,d_2,x) - (d_1-1+\delta_{in})C_1(d_1-1,d_2,x) \ge -\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}C_1(d_1,d_2,x)$ (with the equality if $d_1 \ge 2$). Therefore,

$$
(d_1+\delta_{in})C(T,d_1,d_2,x) - (d_1+\delta_{in}-1)C(T,d_1-1,d_2,x) \ge -\frac{T}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}C_1(d_1,d_2,x) + \varepsilon C_3(d_1,d_2,x),
$$

$$
(d_2+\delta_{in})C(T,d_1,d_2,x) - (d_2+\delta_{in}-1)C(T,d_1,d_2-1,x) \ge -\frac{T}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}C_2(d_1,d_2,x) + \varepsilon C_3(d_1,d_2,x),
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(d_1+d_2+2\delta_{in})C(T,d_1,d_2,x) - (d_1+\delta_{in}-1)C(T,d_1-1,d_2,x) - (d_2+\delta_{in}-1)C(T,d_1,d_2-1,x) \\
\ge -\frac{T}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}(C_1(d_1,d_2,x)+C_2(d_1,d_2,x)) + 2\varepsilon C_3(d_1,d_2,x).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\theta| \le {} & C(T,d_1,d_2,x) + \frac{\varepsilon x(1-x)C(T,d_1,d_2,x)}{6T(1+2\delta_{in}+A_{in})} \\
& + \frac{T(1-x)\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}\left(C_1\left(d_1,d_2,x+\frac{x}{T}\right)+C_2\left(d_1,d_2,x+\frac{x}{T}\right)\right) - (1-x)2\varepsilon C_3\left(d_1,d_2,x+\frac{x}{T}\right)}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in}} \\
& + \frac{Tax\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}\left(C_1\left(d_1,d_2,x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)+C_2\left(d_1,d_2,x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)\right) - ax2\varepsilon C_3\left(d_1,d_2,x-\frac{1-x}{T}\right)}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in}-\delta_{in}} \\
& + O\left(\frac{(d_1+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}}{1+(d_2+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2} + \frac{(d_2+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}}{1+(d_1+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Apply bounds (9) and (10). Terms without $O(\cdot)$ become a linear combination of $C_i(d_1,d_2,x)$. C_1 and C_2 have the coefficient that is not greater than $T + \frac{\varepsilon x(1-x)}{6(1+2\delta_{in}+A_{in})} + \frac{(1-x+ax)\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)}{(1+\delta_{in}x)(c_{in}+\varepsilon)} \le T + \frac{\varepsilon}{6} + \frac{c_{in}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)}{c_{in}+\varepsilon} \le T + \frac{\varepsilon}{6} +$

$\frac{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}}{1+\varepsilon} \le T+1-\frac{\varepsilon}{6}$. C_3 has the coefficient that is not greater than $1+\frac{\varepsilon x(1-x)}{6T(1+2\delta_{in}+A_{in})} - \frac{(1-x+ax)2\varepsilon\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^2}{T(1+2\delta_{in}+A_{in})} \le 1+\frac{\varepsilon(1-x)}{T(1+2\delta_{in}+A_{in})}\left(\frac{1}{6}-2\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^2\right) \le 1$. It remains to select C_0 such that $\frac{\varepsilon}{6}\left(C_1(d_1,d_2,x)+C_2(d_1,d_2,x)\right) \ge O\left(\frac{(d_1+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}}{1+(d_2+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2} + \frac{(d_2+1+\delta_{in})^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}+\varepsilon}}}{1+(d_1+\delta_{in}+1)^{1-\varepsilon}x^2}\right)$. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Without loss of generality assume $\varepsilon < 1$. Let $x = \frac{N}{T}$.

We have $\Pr\left(|x-(\alpha+\gamma)| > \sqrt{\frac{\ln T}{T}}\right) \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$; therefore, it is sufficient to show that

$$\Pr\left(|n_{in}(t,d) - \overline{f_d}t| > \left(\sqrt{\overline{f_d}t} + (d+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)\ln t \middle| \#G_T = N+n_0\right) \to 0$$

uniformly if $|x-(\alpha+\gamma)| \le \sqrt{\frac{\ln T}{T}}$.

From now on, assume $|x-(\alpha+\gamma)| \le \sqrt{\frac{\ln T}{T}}$. Then $c_{in} = \Theta(1)$ and similarly to (3) we have $f_d(x) = O\left((d+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}}}\right)$ and $f'_d(x) = O\left((d+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{c_{in}}}\ln(d+2)\right)$. Furthermore, $|c_{in}-\overline{c}_{in}| = O(|x-(\alpha+\gamma)|\max|c'_{in}|) = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln T}}{T}\right)$, $f_d(x) = O\left((d+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}+O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\ln T}{T}}\right)}\right) = O\left((d+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}}\right)$. Lemma (1) and the equality $\overline{f_d} = f_d(\alpha+\gamma)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}(n_{in}(t,d)|\#G_T = N+n_0) = E_d(T,N) &= Tf_d(x) + O\left((d+1)^{-1+\varepsilon}\right)\\ &= T\overline{f_d} + O\left(\sqrt{T\ln T}(d+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}}\ln(d+2) + (d+1)^{-1+\varepsilon}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Lemmas (1) and (3) imply

$$D(n_{in}(t,d)|\#G_T = N+n_0) = D_{d,d}(T,N) + E_d(T,N) - E_d(T,N)^2 = O\left(T(d+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}} + (d+1)^{-1+\varepsilon}\right).$$

If $\alpha = 0$ and $d = 0$, vertices with zero in-degree can come only from G_0, so $n_{in}(t,0) = O(1)$ in this case, $\overline{f_0} = 0$ and the theorem holds. Otherwise, $\overline{f_d} = \Theta\left((d+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}}\right)$ and if t is sufficiently large, $|\mathbf{E}n_{in}(t,d) - \overline{f_d}t| \le \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\overline{f_d}t} + (d+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)\ln t$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Pr\left(|n_{in}(t,d) - \overline{f_d}t| > \left(\sqrt{\overline{f_d}t} + (d+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)\ln t \middle| \#G_T = N+n_0\right)\\ &\quad\le \Pr\left(|n_{in}(t,d) - \mathbf{E}(n_{in}(t,d)|\#G_T = N+n_0)| > \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\overline{f_d}t} + (d+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)\ln t \middle| \#G_T = N+n_0\right)\\ &\quad\le \frac{D(n_{in}(t,d)|\#G_T = N+n_0)}{\frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{\overline{f_d}t} + (d+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)^2\ln^2 t} = O\left(\frac{t(d+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}} + (d+1)^{-1+\varepsilon}}{\left(t(d+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{\overline{c}_{in}}} + (d+1)^{-1+2\varepsilon}\right)\ln^2 t}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^2 t}\right) = o(1).\end{aligned}$$

□

5 Expected number of edges between vertices with the given degree

5.1 Recurrent equation

Similar to calculation of number of vertices, let

$$\begin{aligned}E_X(T,N,d_1,d_2) &= \mathbf{E}(X(T+t_0,d_1,d_2)|\#G = N+n_0)\\ &= \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i\ne j}}^{N+n_0} \frac{\mathbf{E}\left([\#G = N+n_0, \deg_{out,T}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T}(j) = d_2]V_T(i,j)\right)}{\Pr(\#G = N+n_0)},\end{aligned}$$

where $V_T(i,j)$ is the number of edges from i to j.

We start with a recurrent equation for E_X. Again, let G_{T+1} be a random graph from $\mathcal{G}(T+t_0+1)$ with $N+n_0$ vertices.

- If G_{T+1} is constructed from $G_T \in \mathcal{G}(T+t_0)$ using (†), then $N > 0$, G_T has $N + n_0 - 1$ vertices. Let $N + n_0$ be the new vertex and $w \in G_T$ be the target vertex of the new edge.
  - If $i = N + n_0$ and $j = w$, then
$$[\deg_{out,T+1}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T+1}(j) = d_2]V_{T+1}(i,j) = [d_1 = 1, \deg_{in,T}(j) = d_2 - 1].$$
  - If $i = N + n_0$ and $j \neq w$, then
$$[\deg_{out,T+1}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T+1}(j) = d_2]V_{T+1}(i,j) = 0.$$
  - If $i \neq N + n_0$ and $j = w$, then
$$[\deg_{out,T+1}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T+1}(j) = d_2]V_{T+1}(i,j) = [\deg_{out,T}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T}(j) = d_2 - 1]V_T(i,j).$$
  - If $i \neq N + n_0$ and $j \neq w$, then
$$[\deg_{out,T+1}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T+1}(j) = d_2]V_{T+1}(i,j) = [\deg_{out,T}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T}(j) = d_2]V_T(i,j).$$

  Thus,
$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i\neq j}}^{N+n_0} [\deg_{out,T+1}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T+1}(j) = d_2]V_{T+1}(i,j) \\
&\quad = \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i\neq j}}^{N+n_0-1} [\deg_{out,T}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T}(j) = d_2]V_T(i,j) + [d_1 = 1, \deg_{in,T}(w) = d_2 - 1] \\
&\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^{N+n_0-1} [\deg_{out,T}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T}(w) = d_2 - 1]V_T(i,w) \\
&\qquad - \sum_{i=1}^{N+n_0-1} [\deg_{out,T}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T}(w) = d_2]V_T(i,w)
\end{aligned}$$

  Recall that w is selected with probability $\frac{\deg_{in}(w)+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}(N-1)+A_{in}}$.
$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\left(X(T+1+t_0, d_1, d_2 | G_T, (\dagger)) = X(T+t_0, d_1, d_2)\left(1 - \frac{d_2+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}(N-1)+A_{in}}\right)\right. \\
&\quad + \frac{d_2 - 1 + \delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}(N-1)+A_{in}}[d_1 = 1]n_{in}(t, d_2 - 1) + \frac{d_2 - 1 + \delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}(N-1)+A_{in}}X(T+t_0, d_1, d_2 - 1)
\end{aligned}$$

- If G_{T+1} is constructed from $G_T \in \mathcal{G}(T+t_0)$ using (††), then $N < T+1$, G_T has $N+n_0$ vertices. Let v and w be correspondingly the source and the target of the new edge. Then $\deg_{out,T+1}(i) = \deg_{out,T}(i) - [i = v]$, $\deg_{in,T+1}(j) = \deg_{in,T}(j) - [j = w]$, $V_{T+1}(i,j) = V_T(i,j) + [i = v, j = w]$, so
$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i\neq j}}^{N+n_0} [\deg_{out,T+1}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T+1}(j) = d_2]V_{T+1}(i,j) = \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i\neq j}}^{N+n_0} [\deg_{out,T}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T}(j) = d_2]V_T(i,j) \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{i=1\\ i\neq w}}^{N+n_0} [\deg_{out,T}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T}(w) = d_2 - 1]V_T(i,w) - \sum_{\substack{i=1\\ i\neq w}}^{N+n_0} [\deg_{out,T}(i) = d_1, \deg_{in,T}(w) = d_2]V_T(i,w) \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq v}}^{N+n_0} [\deg_{out,T}(v) = d_1 - 1, \deg_{in,T}(j) = d_2]V_T(v,j) - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq v}}^{N+n_0} [\deg_{out,T}(v) = d_1, \deg_{in,T}(j) = d_2]V_T(v,j) \\
&\quad + [\deg_{out,T}(v) = d_1 - 1, \deg_{out,T}(w) = d_2 - 1, v \neq w](V_T(v,w) + 1) \\
&\quad - [\deg_{out,T}(v) = d_1, \deg_{in,T}(w) = d_2 - 1, v \neq w]V_T(v,w) \\
&\quad - [\deg_{out,T}(v) = d_1 - 1, \deg_{in,T}(w) = d_2, v \neq w]V_T(v,w) \\
&\quad + [\deg_{out,T}(v) = d_1, \deg_{in,T}(w) = d_2, v \neq w]V_T(v,w).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that v and w are selected independently with probabilities $\frac{\deg_{T,out}(v)+\delta_{out}}{T+\delta_{out}N+A_{out}}$ and $\frac{\deg_{T,in}(w)+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}$ correspondingly.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}(X(T+1+t_0,d_1,d_2|G_T,(\dagger\dagger)) &= X(T+t_0,d_1,d_2)\left(1-\frac{d_2+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}\right)\left(1-\frac{d_1+\delta_{out}}{T+\delta_{out}N+A_{out}}\right)\\ &+ X(T+t_0,d_1,d_2-1)\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}\left(1-\frac{d_1+\delta_{out}}{T+\delta_{out}N+A_{out}}\right)\\ &+ X(T+t_0,d_1-1,d_2)\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{out}}{T+\delta_{out}N+A_{out}}\left(1-\frac{d_2+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}\right)\\ &+\left(X(T+t_0,d_1-1,d_2-1)+n_{out}(T+t_0,d_1-1)n_{in}(T+t_0,d_2-1)\right.\\ &\left.-\sum_{v=1}^{N+n_0}[\deg_{T,out}(v)=d_1-1,\deg_{T,in}(v)=d_2-1]\right)\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{out}}{T+\delta_{out}N+A_{out}}\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}\end{aligned}$$

- The case (‡) is symmetrical to (†) with in- and out-degrees exchanged.

Finally, noting that $X(T+t_0,d_1-1,d_2-1)=O(T)$, $\sum_{v=1}^{N+n_0}[\deg_{T,out}(v)=d_1-1,\deg_{T,in}(v)=d_2-1]=O(T)$ and ignoring all terms that are $O_{d_1,d_2}(1/T)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}E_X(T+1,N,d_1,d_2) &= [N>0]\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\gamma}\frac{N}{T+1}\left(E_X(T,N-1,d_1,d_2)\left(1-\frac{d_2+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}(N-1)+A_{in}}\right)\right.\\ &\left.+\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}(N-1)+A_{in}}[d_1=1]E_{in,d_2-1}(T,N-1)+\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}(N-1)+A_{in}}E_X(T,N-1,d_1,d_2-1)\right)\\ &+[N<T+1]\frac{T+1-N}{T+1}\left(E_X(T,N,d_1,d_2)\left(1-\frac{d_2+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}-\frac{d_1+\delta_{out}}{T+\delta_{out}N+A_{out}}\right)\right.\\ &+E_X(T,N,d_1,d_2-1)\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}+E_X(T,N,d_1-1,d_2)\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{out}}{T+\delta_{out}N+A_{out}}\\ &\left.+E_{out,d_1-1}(T,N)E_{in,d_2-1}(T,N)\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{out}}{T+\delta_{out}N+A_{out}}\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{T+\delta_{in}N+A_{in}}\right)\\ &+[N>0]\frac{\gamma}{\alpha+\gamma}\frac{N}{T+1}\left(E_X(T,N-1,d_1,d_2)\left(1-\frac{d_1+\delta_{out}}{T+\delta_{out}(N-1)+A_{out}}\right)\right.\\ &\left.+\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{out}}{T+\delta_{out}(N-1)+A_{out}}[d_2=1]E_{out,d_1-1}(T,N)+\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{out}}{T+\delta_{in}(N-1)+A_{in}}E_X(T,N-1,d_1-1,d_2)\right)\\ &+O_{d_1,d_2}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right). \quad (12)\end{aligned}$$

Here $E_{out,d}(T,N)$ and $E_{in,d}(T,N)$ are defined similarly to the proof of Theorem 1 related to out- and in-degrees correspondingly (thus, $E_d(T,N)$ from the proof of Theorem 1 is $E_{in,d}(T,N)$; $E_{out,d}$ is the same with exchanged values $\alpha\leftrightarrow\gamma$, $\delta_{in}\leftrightarrow\delta_{out}$).

According to Lemma 1, $E_{out,d}(T,N)=Tf_{out,d}\left(\frac{N}{T}\right)+O(1)$ and $E_{in,d}(T,N)=Tf_{in,d}\left(\frac{N}{T}\right)+O(1)$, where

$$f_{in,d}(x)=x\sum_{i=0}^{1}[d\geq i]p_{in,i}\frac{\Gamma\left(i+\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{c_{in}}\right)}{c_{in}\Gamma(i+\delta_{in})}\frac{\Gamma(d+\delta_{in})}{\Gamma\left(d+1+\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{c_{in}}\right)},$$

$$f_{out,d}(x)=x\sum_{i=0}^{1}[d\geq i]p_{out,i}\frac{\Gamma\left(i+\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{c_{out}}\right)}{c_{out}\Gamma(i+\delta_{out})}\frac{\Gamma(d+\delta_{out})}{\Gamma\left(d+1+\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{c_{out}}\right)},$$

(these representations assume $\delta_{in}>0$, $\delta_{out}>0$, $c_{in}>0$ and $c_{out}>0$, but are more convenient to work with than universal ones from Lemma 1).

5.2 Some definite integrals

Define

$$I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4)=\iint_{0\le v^{c_1}\le w^{c_2}\le 1} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{\xi_2}w^{\xi_3-1}(1-w)^{\xi_4}dvdw$$

for $c_1>0, c_2>0, \xi_1>0, \xi_2\ge 0, \xi_3>0, \xi_4\ge 0$.

Lemma 4. *The following recurrent equations for I_1 hold:*

$$(c_2(\xi_1+\xi_2)+c_1(\xi_3+\xi_4))I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4)=c_1\xi_4 I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4-1)+c_2\xi_2 I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2-1,\xi_3,\xi_4)$$
$$\text{for } \xi_2\ge 1,\xi_4\ge 1;$$

$$(c_2(\xi_1+\xi_2)+c_1\xi_3)I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,0)=c_1\mathrm{B}(\xi_1,\xi_2+1)+c_2\xi_2 I_1(\xi_1,\xi_2-1,\xi_3,0) \text{ for } \xi_2\ge 1;$$
$$(c_2\xi_1+c_1(\xi_3+\xi_4))I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,0,\xi_3,\xi_4)=c_1\xi_4 I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,0,\xi_3,\xi_4-1) \text{ for } \xi_4\ge 1;$$
$$I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,0,\xi_3,0)=\frac{c_1}{\xi_1(c_2\xi_1+c_1\xi_3)}.$$

Proof.

$$I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4)=\int_0^1 w^{\xi_3-1}(1-w)^{\xi_4}\left(\int_0^{w^{c_2/c_1}} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{\xi_2}dv\right)dw.$$

Let $\xi_2\ge 1$. Integrate by parts the inner integral, noting that $v=1-(1-v)$:

$$\xi_1\int_0^{w^{c_2/c_1}} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{\xi_2}dv=\left(v^{\xi_1}(1-v)^{\xi_2}\right)\Big|_0^{w^{c_2/c_1}}+\xi_2\int_0^{w^{c_2/c_1}} v^{\xi_1}(1-v)^{\xi_2-1}dv$$
$$=w^{\xi_1 c_2/c_1}\left(1-w^{c_2/c_1}\right)^{\xi_2}+\xi_2\int_0^{w^{c_2/c_1}} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{\xi_2-1}dv-\xi_2\int_0^{w^{c_2/c_1}} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{\xi_2}dv;$$

$$(\xi_1+\xi_2)I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4)=\int_0^1 w^{\xi_1 c_2/c_1+\xi_3-1}(1-w)^{\xi_4}\left(1-w^{c_2/c_1}\right)^{\xi_2}dw+\xi_2 I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2-1,\xi_3,\xi_4). \quad (13)$$

Let $\xi_4\ge 1$. Integrate by parts the outer integral:

$$\frac{d}{dw}\left((1-w)^{\xi_4}\left(\int_0^{w^{c_2/c_1}} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{\xi_2}dv\right)\right)=-\xi_4(1-w)^{\xi_4-1}\left(\int_0^{w^{c_2/c_1}} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{\xi_2}dv\right)$$
$$+(1-w)^{\xi_4}\frac{c_2}{c_1}w^{c_2/c_1-1}\left(w^{c_2/c_1}\right)^{\xi_1-1}\left(1-w^{c_2/c_1}\right)^{\xi_2},$$

$$\xi_3 I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4)=\xi_4(I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4-1)-I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4))$$
$$-\frac{c_2}{c_1}\int_0^1 w^{\xi_3+\xi_1 c_2/c_1-1}(1-w)^{\xi_4}\left(1-w^{c_2/c_1}\right)^{\xi_2}dw. \quad (14)$$

The first equality of the lemma ($\xi_2\ge 1$ and $\xi_4\ge 1$) follows from combining (13) and (14). For $\xi_2\ge 1$ and $\xi_4=0$, (13) holds, but integration by parts yields an additional term instead of (14):

$$\xi_3 I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,0)=\int_0^1 v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{\xi_2}dv-\frac{c_2}{c_1}\int_0^1 w^{\xi_3+\xi_1 c_2/c_1-1}(1-w^{c_2/c_1})^{\xi_2}dw$$
$$=\mathrm{B}(\xi_1,\xi_2+1)-\frac{c_2}{c_1}\left((\xi_1+\xi_2)I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,0)-\xi_2 I_1(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2-1,\xi_3,0)\right).$$

Finally, the case $\xi_2=0$ is same as $\xi_2\ge 1$ without the last term in (13). □

Define

$$I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4,\xi_5)=\iint_{0\le v^{c_1}\le w^{c_2}\le 1} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{\xi_2}w^{\xi_3-1}(1-w)^{\xi_4}\left(\int_{w^{1/c_1}}^1 t^{\xi_5-1}dt\right)dvdw$$

for $c_1>0, c_2>0, \xi_1>0, \xi_2\ge 0, \xi_3>0, \xi_4\ge 0, \xi_3+\xi_5/c_{in}>0$. (Note: ξ_5 can be zero or negative, in this case the inner integral is not defined for $w=0$; the conditions ensure that the (improper) outer integral converges).

Lemma 5. *The following recurrent equations for I_2 hold:*

$$(c_2(\xi_1+\xi_2)+c_1(\xi_3+\xi_4))I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4,\xi_5) = I_1\left(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3+\frac{\xi_5}{c_1},\xi_4\right) + c_1\xi_4 I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4-1,\xi_5)+c_2\xi_2 I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2-1,\xi_3,\xi_4,\xi_5) \text{ for } \xi_2\geq 1,\xi_4\geq 1;$$

$$(c_2(\xi_1+\xi_2)+c_1\xi_3)I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,0,\xi_5) = I_1\left(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3+\frac{\xi_5}{c_1},0\right) + c_2\xi_2 I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2-1,\xi_3,0,\xi_5) \text{ for } \xi_2\geq 1;$$

$$(c_2\xi_1+c_1(\xi_3+\xi_4))I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,0,\xi_3,\xi_4,\xi_5) = I_1\left(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,0,\xi_3+\frac{\xi_5}{c_1},\xi_4\right) + c_1\xi_4 I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,0,\xi_3,\xi_4-1,\xi_5) \text{ for } \xi_4\geq 1;$$

$$(c_2\xi_1+c_1\xi_3)I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,0,\xi_3,0,\xi_5) = I_1\left(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,0,\xi_3+\frac{\xi_5}{c_1},0\right).$$

Proof.

$$I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4,\xi_5) = \int_0^1 w^{\xi_3-1}(1-w)^{\xi_4}\left(\int_0^{w^{c_2/c_1}} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{\xi_2}dv\right)\left(\int_{w^{1/c_1}}^1 t^{\xi_5-1}dt\right)dw.$$

Let $\xi_2\geq 1$ and $\xi_4\geq 1$. Similar to (13) from the previous lemma, integration by parts of $\int\ldots dv$ gives

$$(\xi_1+\xi_2)I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4,\xi_5) = \xi_2 I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2-1,\xi_3,\xi_4,\xi_5) + \int_0^1 w^{\xi_1 c_2/c_1+\xi_3-1}(1-w)^{\xi_4}\left(1-w^{c_2/c_1}\right)^{\xi_2}\int_{w^{1/c_1}}^1 t^{\xi_5-1}dtdw. \quad (15)$$

Integration by parts of $\int\ldots dw$ is similar to (15) from the previous lemma with an additional term from $\frac{d}{dw}\int_{w^{1/c_1}}^1 t^{\xi_5-1}dt = -\frac{1}{c_1}w^{\xi_5/c_1-1}$:

$$(\xi_3+\xi_4)I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4,\xi_5) = \xi_4 I_2(c_1,c_2,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4-1,\xi_5) - \frac{c_2}{c_1}\int_0^1 w^{\xi_3+\xi_1 c_2/c_1-1}(1-w)^{\xi_4}\left(1-w^{c_2/c_1}\right)^{\xi_2}\int_{w^{1/c_1}}^1 t^{\xi_5-1}dtdw + \frac{1}{c_1}\int_0^1 w^{\xi_3+\xi_5/c_1-1}(1-w)^{\xi_4}\left(\int_0^{w^{c_2/c_1}} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{\xi_2}dv\right)dw. \quad (16)$$

The first equality of the lemma follows from combining (15) with (16) and recalling the definition of I_1. The case $\xi_2=0$ is similar to (15) without the term $I_2(\ldots,\xi_2-1,\ldots)$; the case $\xi_4=0$ is similar to (16) without the term $I_2(\ldots,\xi_4-1,\ldots)$. □

Define

$$g_1(d_1,d_2) = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha+\gamma}\frac{x^2}{1+\delta_{out}x}\sum_{i=0}^1[d_1\geq i+1]\frac{p_{out,i}}{c_{in}c_{out}}\frac{\Gamma(d_1+\delta_{out})}{\Gamma(d_1-i)\Gamma(\delta_{out}+i)}\frac{\Gamma(d_2+\delta_{in})}{\Gamma(d_2)\Gamma(1+\delta_{in})} \times I_1\left(c_{in},c_{out},\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{c_{out}}+i,d_1-i-1,\delta_{in}+\frac{c_{out}}{c_{in}}+1,d_2-1\right),$$

$$g_2(d_1,d_2) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\gamma}\frac{x^2}{1+\delta_{in}x}\sum_{i=0}^1[d_2\geq i+1]\frac{p_{in,i}}{c_{in}c_{out}}\frac{\Gamma(d_1+\delta_{out})}{\Gamma(d_1)\Gamma(1+\delta_{out})}\frac{\Gamma(d_2+\delta_{in})}{\Gamma(d_2-i)\Gamma(\delta_{in}+i)} \times I_1\left(c_{out},c_{in},\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{c_{in}}+i,d_2-i-1,\delta_{out}+\frac{c_{in}}{c_{out}}+1,d_1-1\right),$$

$$g_3(d_1,d_2)=\tfrac{x^2(1-x)}{(1+\delta_{in}x)(1+\delta_{out}x)}\sum_{i=0}^{1}\sum_{j=0}^{1}[d_1\ge i+1,d_2\ge j+1]\frac{p_{out,i}p_{in,j}}{c_{in}c_{out}}\frac{\Gamma(d_1+\delta_{out})}{\Gamma(d_1-i)\Gamma(\delta_{out}+i)}\frac{\Gamma(d_2+\delta_{in})}{\Gamma(d_2-j)\Gamma(\delta_{in}+j)}$$
$$\times\left(I_2\left(c_{in},c_{out},\delta_{out}+\frac{1}{c_{out}}+i,d_1-i-1,\delta_{in}+\frac{c_{out}}{c_{in}}+1+j,d_2-j-1,1-c_{in}-c_{out}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+I_2\left(c_{out},c_{in},\delta_{in}+\frac{1}{c_{in}}+j,d_2-j-1,\delta_{out}+\frac{c_{in}}{c_{out}}+1+i,d_1-i-1,1-c_{in}-c_{out}\right)\right)$$

for $d_1\ge 1$, $d_2\ge 1$. Define $g_1(0,d_2)=g_1(d_1,0)=0$.

Lemma 4 implies the following recurrent equations for g_1,g_2 and $d_1\ge 1,d_2\ge 1$:

$$(c_{in}(d_2+\delta_{in})+c_{out}(d_1+\delta_{out})+1)g_1(d_1,d_2)=c_{in}(d_2-1+\delta_{in})g_1(d_1,d_2-1)+c_{out}(d_1-1+\delta_{out})g_1(d_1-1,d_2)$$
$$+[d_2=1]\frac{\gamma}{\alpha+\gamma}x\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{out}}{1+\delta_{out}x}f_{out,d_1-1}(x);\quad(17)$$

$$(c_{in}(d_2+\delta_{in})+c_{out}(d_1+\delta_{out})+1)g_2(d_1,d_2)=c_{in}(d_2-1+\delta_{in})g_2(d_1,d_2-1)+c_{out}(d_1-1+\delta_{out})g_2(d_1-1,d_2)$$
$$+[d_1=1]\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\gamma}x\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{1+\delta_{in}x}f_{in,d_2-1}(x).\quad(18)$$

Lemma 5 and the fact that

$$\mathrm{B}(\xi_1,\xi_2+1)\mathrm{B}(\xi_3,\xi_4+1)$$
$$=\iint_{0\le v^{c_{in}}\le w^{c_{out}}\le 1}v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{\xi_2}w^{\xi_3-1}(1-w)^{\xi_4}dvdw+\iint_{0\le w^{c_{out}}\le v^{c_{in}}\le 1}v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{\xi_2}w^{\xi_3-1}(1-w)^{\xi_4}dvdw$$
$$=I_1(c_{in},c_{out},\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4)+I_1(c_{out},c_{in},\xi_3,\xi_4,\xi_1,\xi_2)$$

imply that for $d_1\ge 1,d_2\ge 1$

$$(c_{in}(d_2+\delta_{in})+c_{out}(d_1+\delta_{out})+1)g_3(d_1,d_2)=c_{in}(d_2-1+\delta_{in})g_3(d_1,d_2-1)+c_{out}(d_1-1+\delta_{out})g_3(d_1-1,d_2)$$
$$+(1-x)\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{out}}{1+\delta_{out}x}\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{1+\delta_{in}x}f_{out,d_1-1}(x)f_{in,d_2-1}(x).\quad(19)$$

Finally, define

$$g(d_1,d_2)=g(x,d_1,d_2)=g_1(d_1,d_2)+g_2(d_1,d_2)+g_3(d_1,d_2).\quad(20)$$

Then (17), (18) and (19) imply the recurrent equation

$$(c_{in}(d_2+\delta_{in})+c_{out}(d_1+\delta_{out})+1)g(d_1,d_2)=c_{in}(d_2-1+\delta_{in})g(d_1,d_2-1)+c_{out}(d_1-1+\delta_{out})g(d_1-1,d_2)$$
$$+[d_2=1]\frac{\gamma}{\alpha+\gamma}x\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{out}}{1+\delta_{out}x}f_{out,d_1-1}(x)+[d_1=1]\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\gamma}x\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{1+\delta_{in}x}f_{in,d_2-1}(x)$$
$$+(1-x)\frac{d_1-1+\delta_{out}}{1+\delta_{out}x}\frac{d_2-1+\delta_{in}}{1+\delta_{in}x}f_{out,d_1-1}(x)f_{in,d_2-1}(x).\quad(21)$$

Lemma 6. $E_X(T,N,d_1,d_2)=g\left(\frac{N}{T},d_1,d_2\right)T+O_{d_1,d_2}(1)$.

Proof. The proof is essentially same as the proof of Lemma 1.

We use induction by d_1+d_2. Both sides are zero when $d_1=0$ or $d_2=0$. For $d_1\ge 1$ and $d_2\ge 1$ note that g as a function in $x\in[0,1]$ is analytical, so $g(x,d_1,d_2)=O_{d_1,d_2}(1)$, $g'(x,d_1,d_2)=O_{d_1,d_2}(1)$, $g''(x,d_1,d_2)=O_{d_1,d_2}(1)$ (we use derivatives with respect to x). For fixed d_1 and d_2 we use induction by T to prove that

$$\left|E_X(T,N,d_1,d_2)-g\left(\frac{N}{T},d_1,d_2\right)\right|\le C=C(d_1,d_2),$$

where the constant $C(d_1,d_2)$ will be selected later, assuming that this inequality holds for d_1-1,d_2 and for d_1,d_2-1 with all T. Induction base $T\le T_0(d_1,d_2)$ (where the value T_0 will be selected later) is trivial. Now assume that the bound for T is proved and consider $T+1$. Let $0\le N\le T+1$ and $x=\frac{N}{T+1}$. If $N\ne T+1$, the inductive hypothesis and the Taylor formula imply that

$$E_X(T,N,d_1,d_2)=Tg\left(x+\frac{x}{T},d_1,d_2\right)+\theta_1=Tg(x,d_1,d_2)+xg'(x,d_1,d_2)+\frac{x^2}{2T}g''(\xi,d_1,d_2)+\theta_1$$
$$=Tg(x,d_1,d_2)+xg'(x,d_1,d_2)+O_{d_1,d_2}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)+\theta_1,$$

where $|\theta_1| \le C$. Similarly, $E_X(T, N, d_1 - 1, d_2) = Tg(x, d_1 - 1, d_2) + O_{d_1,d_2}(1)$ (even for $d_1 = 1$) and $E_X(T, N, d_1, d_2 - 1) = Tg(x, d_1, d_2 - 1) + O_{d_1,d_2}(1)$ (even for $d_2 = 1$). If $N \neq 0$, we have for the same reasons

$$\begin{aligned} E_X(T, N-1, d_1, d_2) &= Tg\left(x - \frac{1-x}{T}, d_1, d_2\right) + \theta_2 \\ &= Tg(x, d_1, d_2) - (1-x)g'(x, d_1, d_2) + \frac{(1-x)^2}{2T} g''(\xi, d_1, d_2) + \theta_2 \\ &= Tg(x, d_1, d_2) - (1-x)g'(x, d_1, d_2) + O\left(\frac{1-x}{T}\right) + \theta_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $|\theta_2| \le C$. Similarly, $E_X(T, N-1, d_1-1, d_2) = Tg(x, d_1-1, d_2) + O_{d_1,d_2}(1)$ and $E_X(T, N-1, d_1, d_2-1) = Tg(x, d_1, d_2-1) + O_{d_1,d_2}(1)$.

Substitute these representations in (12). The sum of terms of order T in right-hand side is $Tg(x, d_1, d_2)$, terms of order 1 are exactly as in (21) and give $g(x, d_1, d_2)$ in total. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E_X(T+1, N, d_1, d_2) &= (T+1)g\left(\frac{N}{T+1}, d_1, d_2\right) \\ &\quad + \theta_1(1-x)\left(1 - \frac{d_2 + \delta_{in}}{T(1+\delta_{in}x) + \delta_{in}x + A_{in}} - \frac{d_1 + \delta_{out}}{T(1+\delta_{out}x) + \delta_{out}x + A_{out}}\right) \\ &\quad + \theta_2 x\left(1 - \frac{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\gamma}(d_2 + \delta_{in})}{T(1+\delta_{in}x) + \delta_{in}(x-1) + A_{in}} - \frac{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha+\gamma}(d_1 + \delta_{out})}{T(1+\delta_{out}x) + \delta_{out}(x-1) + A_{out}}\right) + O_{d_1,d_2}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Select T_0 such that coefficients in θ_i are non-negative for all $T \ge T_0$ and $x \in [0, 1]$. Then, for any sufficiently large C we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|E_X(T+1, N, d_1, d_2) - (T+1)g\left(\frac{N}{T+1}, d_1, d_2\right)\right| \le C + O_{d_1,d_2}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \\ &-C\left(\tfrac{(1-x)(d_2+\delta_{in})}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}x+A_{in}} + \tfrac{(1-x)(d_1+\delta_{out})}{T(1+\delta_{out}x)+\delta_{out}x+A_{out}} + \tfrac{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\gamma}x(d_2+\delta_{in})}{T(1+\delta_{in}x)+\delta_{in}(x-1)+A_{in}} + \tfrac{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha+\gamma}x(d_1+\delta_{out})}{T(1+\delta_{out}x)+\delta_{out}(x-1)+A_{out}}\right) \le C. \end{aligned}$$

□

5.3 Asymptotic behaviour

Lemma 7.

$$\kappa(c_1, c_2, r, x) = \Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(c_2) - \kappa\left(c_2, c_1, \frac{1}{r}, x^{-1/r}\right).$$

Proof.

$$\int_0^\infty z^{c_1-1} e^{-z\tau^r} dz = (\tau^r)^{-c_1} \int_0^\infty (z\tau^r)^{c_1-1} e^{-z\tau^r} d(z\tau^r) = \tau^{-c_1 r}\Gamma(c_1);$$

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty z^{c_1-1}\tau^{c_1 r + c_2 - 1} e^{-\tau - z\tau^r} dz d\tau = \int_0^\infty (\tau^r)^{-c_1}\Gamma(c_1)\tau^{c_1 r + c_2 - 1} e^{-\tau} d\tau = \Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(c_2);$$

$$\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(c_2) - \kappa(c_1, c_2, r, x) = \int_x^\infty dz \int_0^\infty z^{c_1-1}\tau^{c_1 r + c_2 - 1} e^{-\tau - z\tau^r} d\tau.$$

Replace variables $\hat{z} = 1/z^{1/r}, \hat{\tau} = z\tau^r$: $z = 1/\hat{z}^r$, $\tau = \hat{\tau}^{1/r}\hat{z}$, $dz = -r\hat{z}^{-r-1}d\hat{z}$, $d\tau = \frac{1}{r}\hat{z}\hat{\tau}^{1/r-1}d\hat{\tau}$,

$$\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(c_2) - \kappa(c_1, c_2, r, x) = \int_0^{x^{-1/r}} d\hat{z} \int_0^\infty \hat{z}^{c_2-1}\hat{\tau}^{c_1 + c_2/r - 1} e^{-\hat{\tau}^{1/r}\hat{z} - \hat{\tau}} d\hat{\tau}.$$

□

Lemma 8. *Let $r > 0, \xi_1 > 0, \xi_3 > 0$ be constants depending only on the model parameters. Then*

$$I_1(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2) = d_1^{-\xi_1} d_2^{-\xi_3} \kappa\left(\xi_1, \xi_3, r, \frac{d_1}{d_2^r}\right)\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_2^{\min(r,1)}}\right)\right).$$

Proof. Replace variables: let $v = w^r z$.

$$\iint_{0\le v\le w^r\le 1} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{d_1} w^{\xi_3-1}(1-w)^{d_2} dvdw$$
$$= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 w^{\xi_1 r - r + \xi_3 - 1} z^{\xi_1-1}(1-w^r z)^{d_1}(1-w)^{d_2} w^r dz dw = \int_0^1 z^{\xi_1-1} I_z dz,$$

where $I_z = \int_0^1 w^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1}(1-w)^{d_2}(1-w^r z)^{d_1} dw$.

Since $\ln(1+x) \le x$ for any $x > -1$, we have $(1-w)^{d_2} = \exp(d_2 \ln(1-w)) \le \exp(-d_2 w)$ and $(1-w^r z)^{d_1} \le \exp(-d_1 w^r z)$, so

$$I_z \le \int_0^\infty w^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1} e^{-d_1 w^r z - d_2 w} dw = d_2^{-\xi_1 r-\xi_3} \int_0^\infty w^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1} e^{-d_1 \left(\frac{w}{d_2}\right)^r z - w} dw.$$

If $r \ge 1$, let $\tau = \frac{w}{1-w}$, then $w = \frac{\tau}{1+\tau}$, $dw = \frac{d\tau}{(1+\tau)^2}$,

$$I_z = \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1}}{(1+\tau)^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3+1+d_2}} \left(1 - z\left(\frac{\tau}{1+\tau}\right)^r\right)^{d_1} d\tau$$

Comparing derivatives, it is easy to see that $(1+\tau)^r \ge 1+\tau^r$ for $r \ge 1$. Thus,

$$1 - z\left(\frac{\tau}{1+\tau}\right)^r \ge 1 - \frac{z\tau^r}{1+\tau^r} \ge 1 - \frac{z\tau^r}{1+z\tau^r} = \frac{1}{1+z\tau^r} \ge \frac{1}{\exp(z\tau^r)},$$

$$I_z \ge \int_0^\infty \tau^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1} e^{-(\xi_1 r+\xi_3+1+d_2)\tau - d_1 z\tau^r} d\tau \ge (\xi_1 r+\xi_3+1+d_2)^{-\xi_1 r-\xi_3} \int_0^\infty \tau^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1} e^{-\tau - d_1 z\left(\frac{\tau}{d_2}\right)^r} d\tau$$
$$= d_2^{-\xi_1 r-\xi_3}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_2}\right)\right) \int_0^\infty \tau^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1} e^{-\tau - \frac{d_1}{d_2^r} z\tau^r} d\tau.$$

If $r \le 1$, let $\tau = \frac{w}{(1-w^r)^{1/r}}$, then $w = \frac{\tau}{(1+\tau^r)^{1/r}}$, $w^{r-1}dw = \tau^{r-1}\frac{d\tau}{(1+\tau^r)^2}$,

$$I_z = \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1}}{(1+\tau^r)^{\xi_1+\xi_3/r+1}} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{(1+\tau^r)^{1/r}}\right)^{d_2} \left(1 - \frac{z\tau^r}{1+\tau^r}\right)^{d_1} d\tau.$$

In this case, $1/r \ge 1$, so $(1+\tau^r)^{1/r} \ge 1 + (\tau^r)^{1/r} = 1+\tau$, $1 - \frac{\tau}{(1+\tau^r)^{1/r}} \ge \frac{1}{1+\tau} \ge \exp(-\tau)$, $1 - \frac{z\tau^r}{1+\tau^r} \ge \frac{1}{1+z\tau^r} \ge \exp(-z\tau^r)$,

$$I_z \ge \int_0^\infty \tau^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1} e^{-d_2\tau - (zd_1+\xi_1+\xi_3/r+1)\tau^r} d\tau$$

If $zd_1 \ge d_2^r$, then $O\left(\frac{1}{zd_1}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{d_2^r}\right)$, so

$$I_z \ge \left(1 + \frac{\xi_1+\xi_3/r+1}{zd_1}\right)^{-\xi_1-\xi_3/r} \int_0^\infty \tau^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1} e^{-\frac{d_2\tau}{(1+(\xi_1+\xi_3/r+1)/(zd_1))^{1/r}} - zd_1\tau^r} d\tau$$
$$\ge \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{zd_1}\right)\right) \int_0^\infty \tau^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1} e^{-d_2\tau - zd_1\tau^r} d\tau = \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_2^r}\right)\right) d_2^{-\xi_1 r-\xi_3} \int_0^\infty \tau^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1} e^{-\tau - \frac{d_1}{d_2^r} z\tau^r} d\tau.$$

If $zd_1 < d_2^r$, then $\exp\left(-(\xi_1+\xi_3/r+1)\frac{\tau^r}{d_2^r}\right) \ge 1 - (\xi_1+\xi_3/r+1)\frac{\tau^r}{d_2^r}$,

$$I_z \ge d_2^{-\xi_1 r-\xi_3} \int_0^\infty \tau^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1} e^{-\tau - zd_1\frac{\tau^r}{d_2^r}} \left(1 - (\xi_1+\xi_3/r+1)\frac{\tau^r}{d_2^r}\right) d\tau,$$

$\int_0^\infty \tau^c e^{-\tau-\tau^r(zd_1/d_2^r)} d\tau = \Theta(1)$ for $c = \xi_1 r+\xi_3-1$ and for $c = \xi_1 r+\xi_3+r-1$, so

$$I_z \ge d_2^{-\xi_1 r-\xi_3}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_2^r}\right)\right) \int_0^\infty \tau^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1} e^{-\tau - zd_1\frac{\tau^r}{d_2^r}} d\tau.$$

Integration by z completes the proof. ∎

Lemma 9. *Let $r > 0, \xi_1 > 0, \xi_3 > 0$ be constants depending only on the model parameters. Then*

$$I_1(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2) = d_1^{-\xi_1} d_2^{-\xi_3} \kappa\left(\xi_1, \xi_3, r, \frac{d_1}{d_2^r}\right)\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_1}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{d_2}\right)\right).$$

Proof. If $r \geq 1$ or $d_1 \leq C d_2^r$, the statement follows immediately from the previous lemma. Assume $r < 1$ and $d_1 > C d_2^r$, where the constant C will be selected later.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{0\leq v\leq w^r\leq 1} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{d_1} w^{\xi_3-1}(1-w)^{d_2} dv dw \\
&\qquad = \mathrm{B}(\xi_1, d_1+1)\mathrm{B}(\xi_3, d_2+1) - \iint_{0\leq w^r\leq v\leq 1} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{d_1} w^{\xi_3-1}(1-w)^{d_2} dv dw \\
&= \Gamma(\xi_1)\Gamma(\xi_3) d_1^{-\xi_1} d_2^{-\xi_3}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_1}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{d_2}\right)\right) - \iint_{0\leq w\leq v^{1/r}\leq 1} w^{\xi_3-1}(1-w)^{d_2} v^{\xi_1-1}(1-v)^{d_1} dv dw \\
&\qquad = \Gamma(\xi_1)\Gamma(\xi_3) d_1^{-\xi_1} d_2^{-\xi_3}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_1}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{d_2}\right)\right) - d_1^{-\xi_1} d_2^{-\xi_3} \kappa\left(\xi_3, \xi_1, \frac{1}{r}, \frac{d_2}{d_1^{1/r}}\right)\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7 implies that

$$\Gamma(\xi_1)\Gamma(\xi_3) - \kappa\left(\xi_3, \xi_1, \frac{1}{r}, \frac{d_2}{d_1^{1/r}}\right) = \kappa\left(\xi_1, \xi_3, r, \frac{d_1}{d_2^r}\right).$$

On the other hand, $\kappa(c_1, c_2, r, x) = x^{c_1} \int_0^1 z^{c_1-1} dz \int_0^\infty \tau^{c_1 r + c_2 - 1} e^{-\tau - xz\tau^r} d\tau \leq x^{c_1} \frac{\Gamma(c_1 r + c_2)}{\xi_3}$, so

$$\kappa\left(\xi_3, \xi_1, \frac{1}{r}, \frac{d_2}{d_1^{1/r}}\right) \leq C^{-\xi_3/r} \frac{\Gamma(\xi_1 + \xi_3/r)}{\xi_3} \leq \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\xi_1)\Gamma(\xi_3)$$

if C is sufficiently large, so $O(1) = O\left(\Gamma(\xi_1)\Gamma(\xi_3) - \kappa\left(\xi_3, \xi_1, \frac{1}{r}, \frac{d_2}{d_1^{1/r}}\right)\right)$. □

Lemma 10. *Let $c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0, \xi_1 > 0, \xi_3 > 0, \xi_5 > -\xi_3 c_{in}$ be constants depending only on the model parameters. Let $d_1 \geq 1$, $d_2 \geq 1$. Then*

$$I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1 \pm 1, \xi_3, d_2) = I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2)\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_1}\right)\right),$$

$$I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2 \pm 1) = I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2)\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_2}\right)\right).$$

$$I_2(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1 \pm 1, \xi_3, d_2, \xi_5) = I_2(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2, \xi_5)\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_1}\right)\right),$$

$$I_2(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2 \pm 1, \xi_5) = I_2(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2, \xi_5)\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_2}\right)\right).$$

Proof. It is easy to see from the definition of I_1 that $I_1(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2) \leq I_1(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1 - 1, \xi_3, d_2)$ and $I_1(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2) \leq I_1(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2 - 1)$. On the other hand, Lemma 4 implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(c_2(\xi_1+d_1)+c_1(\xi_3+d_2)) I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2) &= c_1 d_2 I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2-1) + c_2 d_1 I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1-1, \xi_3, d_2) \\
&\geq c_1 d_2 I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2) + c_2 d_1 I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1 - 1, \xi_3, d_2),
\end{aligned}$$

$$(c_2\xi_1 + c_1\xi_3 + c_2 d_1) I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2) \geq c_2 d_1 I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1 - 1, \xi_3, d_2),$$

$$I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1 - 1, \xi_3, d_2) \leq \left(1 + \frac{c_2\xi_1 + c_1\xi_3}{c_2 d_1}\right) I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2),$$

$$I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2) \geq \left(1 - \frac{c_2\xi_1 + c_1\xi_3}{c_2 d_1}\right) I_1(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, d_1 - 1, \xi_3, d_2).$$

This proves the first statement of the lemma, other are analogous (for I_2, use Lemma 5 instead of Lemma 4 and note that $I_1 \geq 0$). □

Lemma 11. *Let $c_1 > 0, r > 0, \xi_1 > 0, \xi_3 > 0$ be constants depending only on the model parameters. If $\xi_5 \neq 0$, then*

$$I_2(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2, \xi_5) = \frac{1}{\xi_5} d_1^{-\xi_1} \left(d_2^{-\xi_3} \kappa \left(\xi_1, \xi_3, r, \frac{d_1}{d_2^r} \right) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2} \right) \right) \right.$$
$$\left. - d_2^{-\xi_3 - \frac{\xi_5}{c_1}} \kappa \left(\xi_1, \xi_3 + \frac{\xi_5}{c_1}, r, \frac{d_1}{d_2^r} \right) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2} \right) \right) \right).$$

If $\xi_5 = 0$, then

$$I_2(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2, 0) = \frac{1}{c_1} d_1^{-\xi_1} d_2^{-\xi_3} \left(\kappa \left(\xi_1, \xi_3, r, \frac{d_1}{d_2^r} \right) \ln d_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3} \kappa \left(\xi_1, \xi_3, r, \frac{d_1}{d_2^r} \right) \right)$$
$$+ O\left(d_1^{-\xi_1} d_2^{-\xi_3} \left(\frac{\ln d_2}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2} \right) \right).$$

Proof. The first statement follows from the equality

$$I_2(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2, \xi_5) = \frac{I_1(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2) - I_1(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3 + \xi_5/c_1, d_2)}{\xi_5},$$

and Lemma 9.

The case $\xi_5 = 0$ is special.

$$I_2(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2, 0) = \frac{1}{c_1} \iint_{0 \le v^{c_1} \le w^{c_1 r} \le 1} v^{\xi_1 - 1} (1 - v)^{d_1} w^{\xi_3 - 1} (1 - w)^{d_2} (-\ln w) dv dw.$$

For the upper bound, note that $1 - v \le \exp(-v)$, $1 - w \le \exp(-w)$:

$$c_1 I_2(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2, 0) \le \iint_{0 \le v^{c_1} \le w^{c_1 r} \le 1} v^{\xi_1 - 1} w^{\xi_3 - 1} e^{-d_1 v - d_2 w} (-\ln w) dv dw$$
$$\le \iint_{0 \le v \le w^r < \infty} v^{\xi_1 - 1} w^{\xi_3 - 1} e^{-d_1 v - d_2 w} (-\ln w) dv dw + \iint_{v \ge 0, w \ge 1} v^{\xi_1 - 1} w^{\xi_3 - 1} e^{-d_1 v - d_2 w} \ln w dv dw;$$

$\int_0^\infty v^{\xi_1 - 1} e^{-d_1 v} dv = d_1^{-\xi_1} \Gamma(\xi_1)$, $\int_1^\infty w^{\xi_3 - 1} e^{-d_2 w} \ln w dw \le e^{-d_2} \int_1^\infty w^{\xi_3 - 1} e^{-(w-1)} \ln w dw = O\left(e^{-d_2}\right)$, so

$$c_1 I_2(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2, 0) \le \iint_{0 \le v \le w^r < \infty} v^{\xi_1 - 1} w^{\xi_3 - 1} e^{-d_1 v - d_2 w} (-\ln w) dv dw + O\left(d_1^{-\xi_1} e^{-d_2} \right).$$

For the lower bound, note that

$$c_1 I_2(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2, 0) = \left(\int_0^1 v^{\xi_1 - 1} (1 - v)^{d_1} dv \right) \left(\int_0^1 w^{\xi_3 - 1} (1 - w)^{d_2} (-\ln w) dw \right)$$
$$- \iint_{0 \le w \le v^{1/r} \le 1} v^{\xi_1 - 1} (1 - v)^{d_1} w^{\xi_3 - 1} (1 - w)^{d_2} (-\ln w) dv dw; \quad (22)$$

$\int_0^1 v^{\xi_1 - 1} (1 - v)^{d_1} dv = \mathrm{B}(\xi_1, d_1 + 1) = \Gamma(\xi_1) d_1^{-\xi_1} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_1}\right)\right)$; according to [12, 6.3.18], we have $\frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)} = \ln x + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$, so

$$\int_0^1 w^{\xi_3 - 1} (1 - w)^{d_2} (-\ln w) dw = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3} \mathrm{B}(\xi_3, d_2 + 1) = -\frac{\Gamma'(\xi_3)\Gamma(d_2 + 1)}{\Gamma(\xi_3 + d_2 + 1)} + \frac{\Gamma(\xi_3)\Gamma(d_2 + 1)\Gamma'(\xi_3 + d_2 + 1)}{\Gamma(\xi_3 + d_2 + 1)^2}$$
$$= d_2^{-\xi_3} \left(-\Gamma'(\xi_3) + \Gamma(\xi_3) \ln d_2 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_2} \right) \right);$$

$$\iint_{0 \le w \le v^{1/r} \le 1} v^{\xi_1 - 1} (1 - v)^{d_1} w^{\xi_3 - 1} (1 - w)^{d_2} (-\ln w) dv dw$$
$$\le \iint_{0 \le w \le v^{1/r} \le 1} v^{\xi_1 - 1} w^{\xi_3 - 1} e^{-d_1 v - d_2 w} (-\ln w) dv dw$$
$$\le \iint_{0 \le w \le v^{1/r} < \infty} v^{\xi_1 - 1} w^{\xi_3 - 1} e^{-d_1 v - d_2 w} (-\ln w) dv dw + \iint_{1 \le w \le v^{1/r} < \infty} v^{\xi_1 - 1} w^{\xi_3 - 1} e^{-d_1 v - d_2 w} \ln w dv dw$$
$$= \iint_{0 \le w \le v^{1/r} < \infty} v^{\xi_1 - 1} w^{\xi_3 - 1} e^{-d_1 v - d_2 w} (-\ln w) dv dw + O\left(e^{-d_1 - d_2} \right).$$

Since $\int_0^\infty v^{\xi_1-1}e^{-d_1 v}dv = \Gamma(\xi_1)d_1^{-\xi_1}$ and

$$\int_0^\infty w^{\xi_3-1}e^{-d_2 w}(-\ln w)dw = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}\left(\Gamma(\xi_3)d_2^{-\xi_3}\right) = d_2^{-\xi_3}\left(-\Gamma'(\xi_3)+\Gamma(\xi_3)\ln d_2\right),$$

we have

$$c_1 I_2(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2, 0)$$
$$\geq d_1^{-\xi_1}d_2^{-\xi_3}O\left(\frac{\ln d_2}{d_1}+\frac{1}{d_2}\right) + \iint_{0\leq v\leq w^r<\infty} v^{\xi_1-1}w^{\xi_3-1}e^{-d_1 v-d_2 w}(-\ln w)dvdw + O\left(e^{-d_1-d_2}\right).$$

Combine lower and upper bound:

$$c_1 I_2(c_1, c_1 r, \xi_1, d_1, \xi_3, d_2, 0) = \iint_{0\leq v\leq w^r<\infty} v^{\xi_1-1}w^{\xi_3-1}e^{-d_1 v-d_2 w}(-\ln w)dvdw + d_1^{-\xi_1}d_2^{-\xi_3}O\left(\frac{\ln d_2}{d_1}+\frac{1}{d_2}\right).$$

Replace variables: let $v = w^r z$.

$$\iint_{0\leq v\leq w^r<\infty} v^{\xi_1-1}w^{\xi_3-1}e^{-d_1 v-d_2 w}(-\ln w)dvdw = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_3}\iint_{0\leq v\leq w^r<\infty} v^{\xi_1-1}w^{\xi_3-1}e^{-d_1 v-d_2 w}dvdw$$
$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_3}\int_0^1 z^{\xi_1-1}dz\int_0^\infty w^{\xi_1 r+\xi_3-1}e^{-d_1 w^r z-d_2 w}dw = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_3}\left(d_1^{-\xi_1}d_2^{-\xi_3}\kappa\left(\xi_1,\xi_3,r,\frac{d_1}{d_2^r}\right)\right)$$
$$= d_1^{-\xi_1}d_3^{-\xi_3}\left(\kappa\left(\xi_1,\xi_3,r,\frac{d_1}{d_2^r}\right)\ln d_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_3}\kappa\left(\xi_1,\xi_3,r,\frac{d_1}{d_2^r}\right)\right).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3. Lemma 2 and Lemma 6 imply $\mathbf{E}X(d_1,d_2) = g(\alpha+\gamma,d_1,d_2)+O_{d_1,d_2}(1)$. It remains to apply Lemmas 9, 10 and 11 to the definition of g. □

6 Concentration for number of edges

Proof of Theorem 4. We use the Azuma–Hoeffding inequality.

Theorem 5. *[13], [14] Let $(X_s)_{s=0}^n$ be a martingale with $|X_{s+1}-X_s|\leq\delta$ for $s=0,\ldots,n-1$, and $x>0$. Then*

$$P\left(|X_n-X_0|\geq x\right)\leq 2\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\delta^2 n}\right).$$

We fix d_1, d_2, t and denote $X = X(t,d_1,d_2)$. Let G be a random graph in $\mathcal{G}(t)$; it has t edges, sorted by the creation time. Let $G^{(s)}$ be a graph with s first edges. Let $X_s = \mathbf{E}(X|G^{(s)}), s = t_0,\ldots,t$. In this sequence $X_{t_0} = \mathbf{E}X$, $X_t = X$. By definition of the probabilistic space, the sequence X_s is a martingale. We will estimate possible differences between adjacent elements of the sequence.

We fix any s from 0 to $t-1$. Let v and w be the source and the target of the last edge in $G^{(s+1)}$, so v and w are random quantities depending on G. Note that the pair (v,w) also determines which one of processes (†), (‡), (††) was used. By definition

$$\begin{array}{rcll} X_s & = & \sum_{i,j}\Pr(v=i,w=j) & \mathbf{E}(X|G^{(s)},v=i,w=j), \\ X_{s+1} & = & & \mathbf{E}(X|G^{(s)},v=v(G^{(s+1)}),w=w(G^{(s+1)})), \end{array}$$

where the sum is over all pairs of nodes of G. Hence it is clear that

$$\min_{i,j}\mathbf{E}(X|G^{(s)},v=i,w=j)\leq X_s, X_{s+1}\leq\max_{i,j}\mathbf{E}(X|G^{(s)},v=i,w=j),$$

$$|X_s-X_{s+1}|\leq\max_{i,j}\mathbf{E}(X|G^{(s)},v=i,w=j)-\min_{\gamma}\mathbf{E}(X|G^{(s)},v=i,w=j).$$

Let $\gamma_1\in\arg\min\mathbf{E}(X|G^{(s)},v=i,w=j)$ and $\gamma_2\in\arg\max\mathbf{E}(X|G^{(s)},v=i,w=j)$. It is sufficient to prove an upper bound for

$$\mathbf{E}(X|G^{(s)},v=i_2,w=j_2)-\mathbf{E}(X|G^{(s)},v=i_1,w=j_1).$$

Replace the initial graph G_0 by $G^{(s)}\cup(i_1,j_1)$ and consider the quantity E_X in that model; denote it as $E_1(T,N,d_1,d_2)$. Similarly, let $E_2(T,N,d_1,d_2)$ denote the analogue of E_X in the model with the initial graph $G^{(s)}\cup(i_2,j_2)$. Then $\mathbf{E}(X|G^{(s)},v=i_1,w=j_1) = \mathbf{E}(E_1(t-s-1,N,d_1,d_2))$ and $\mathbf{E}(X|G^{(s)},v=i_2,w=$

$j_2) = \mathbf{E}(E_2(t-s-1, N, d_1, d_2))$, where N has binomial distribution with parameters $t-s-1$ and $\alpha+\gamma$. We cannot use Theorem 3 for E_1 and E_2 directly because the remainder term of Theorem 3 depends on the initial graph, but (12) and (1) are still valid. Here A_{in} and A_{out} can differ in models with initial graphs $G^{(s)} \cup (i_1, j_1)$ and $G^{(s)} \cup (i_2, j_2)$ due to different number of vertices. However, apriori bounds $0 \le n_{in}(t,d) \le t$ and $0 \le X(t, d_1, d_2) \le t$ imply $E_i(T, N, d_1, d_2)\frac{d_1+\delta_{out}}{T+\delta_{out}N+A'_{out}} = E_i(T, N, d_1, d_2)\frac{d_1+\delta_{out}}{T+\delta_{out}N+A_{out}} + O_{d_1,d_2}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)$ (and similarly for other terms of (12) and (1)), so the difference $E_1(T, N, d_1, d_2) - E_2(T, N, d_1, d_2)$ satisfies to (12) with $E_{in,d_2-1}(T, N-1)$ and $E_{out,d_1-1}(T, N-1)$ replaced by the corresponding differences, which in turn satisfy to (1) without terms $\frac{\alpha N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}$ and $\frac{\gamma N}{(\alpha+\gamma)(T+1)}$.

It remains to note that adding an edge (i_1, j_1) changes $X(t, d_1, d_2)$ by at most $d_1 + d_2$, so the initial value $E_1(0, 0, d_1, d_2) - E_2(0, 0, d_1, d_2)$ is at most $2(d_1 + d_2)$. Consequently, $E_1(T, N, d_1, d_2) - E_2(T, N, d_1, d_2) = O_{d_1,d_2}(1)$ similar to Lemma 6.

Therefore, the sequence (X_s) satisfies the condition of Theorem 5 with $n = t - t_0$ and $\delta = O_{d_1,d_2}(1)$. Substituting $x = \sqrt{t}\ln t$ in Theorem 5, we obtain Theorem 4. □

References

[1] B. Bollobás, *Random graphs*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Second Edition, 2001.

[2] S. Janson, T. Łuczak, A. Ruciński, *Random graphs*, Wiley, NY, 2000.

[3] A.-L. Barabási, R. Albert, *Emergence of scaling in random networks*, Science, 286 (1999), 509–512.

[4] B. Bollobás, O. M. Riordan, J. Spencer, G. Tusnády, *The degree sequence of a scale-free random graph process*, Random Structures and Algorithms, 18 (2001), N3, 279–290.

[5] S. N. Dorogovtsev, J. F. F. Mendes, A. N. Samukhin, *Structure of growing networks with preferential linking*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000), 4633.

[6] E. Drinea, M. Enachescu, M. Mitzenmacher, *Variations on random graph models for the web*, technical report, Harvard University, Department of Computer Science, 2001.

[7] P. G. Buckley, D. Osthus, *Popularity based random graph models leading to a scale-free degree sequence*, Discrete Math., 282 (2004), 53–68.

[8] E. A. Grechnikov, *The degree distribution and the number of edges between vertices of given degrees in the Buckley-Osthus model of a random web graph*, J. of Internet Mathematics 8 (2012), 257–287.

[9] C. Cooper, A. Frieze, *A general model of web graphs*, Random Structures and Algorithms 22 (2003), 311–335.

[10] B. Bollobás, C. Borgs, J. Chayes and O. Riordan, *Directed scale-free graphs*, Proc. 14th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, 2003, 132–139.

[11] C. Cooper, *Distribution of Vertex Degree in Web-Graphs*, Combinatorics Probability and Computing, 15 (2006), 637–661.

[12] M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun (editors), *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs and mathematical tables*, Dover, 1964, tenth GPO printing.

[13] K. Azuma, *Weighted sums of certain dependent variables*, Tôhoku Math. J., 19 (1967), 357–367.

[14] W. Hoeffding, *Probability inequalities for sums of bounded random variables*, J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 58 (1963), 13–30.